arXiv:1405.4729v4 [math.RT] 29 Dec 2015

GENERALIZED QUIVER VARIETIES AND SELF-INJECTIVE ALGEBRAS

SARAH SCHEROTZKE

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce *generalized quiver varieties*, which include as special cases classical and cyclic quiver varieties. The geometry of generalized quiver varieties is governed by a finitely generated algebra $\mathcal{P}$, and by a quotient of its ext-algebra, $\mathcal{S}$: the algebra $\mathcal{P}$ is self-injective if the quiver Q is of ADE type, and coincides with the preprojective algebra in the case of classical quiver varieties. We prove that generalized quiver varieties can be realized as moduli spaces of representations of $\mathcal{S}$. We prove that the strata of generalized quiver varieties are in bijection with the isomorphism classes of objects in $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$, and that their degeneration order coincides with the Jensen–Su–Zimmermann's degeneration order on $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$. Among the applications of our theory, we construct a desingularization of quiver Grassmannians for self-injective algebras of finite representation type.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Nakajima quiver varieties 3
1.2. Generalized Nakajima categories and generalized quiver varieties 3
1.3. Affine quiver varieties as moduli spaces 4
1.4. Stratification of affine quiver varieties 4
1.5. Frobenius models of orbit categories of the derived category 5
1.6. Desingularization of quiver Grassmanians 5
2. Generalized Nakajima categories 5
2.1. Notations 5
2.2. Nakajima Categories and Happel's Theorem 6
2.4. Configurations and orbit categories of Nakajima categories 8
2.6. The Dynkin case 8
2.10. Kan extensions and Stability 10
2.12. Preprojective algebras 11
3. Generalized Nakajima quiver varieties 12
3.6. Affine quiver varieties as $\mathcal{S}$–modules 14
3.10. From graded to ungraded quiver varieties 17

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F60, 16G70, 18E30.

Key words and phrases. Nakajima quiver varieties, cluster algebra, monoidal categorification.

3.14. Homological properties of Nakajima categories 18
4. The Stratification functor of affine quiver varieties 21
4.1. The Stratification functor 22
4.10. Degeneration order on Strata 26
4.12. Fibers of π as quiver Grassmannians 28
5. Frobenius models and Nakajima categories 31
5.1. Gorenstein projective modules of $\mathcal{S}$ 32
5.6. Frobenius models of self-injective algebras 33
6. Desingularization of quiver Grassmannians 35
References 37

1. Introduction

Quiver varieties associated with a finite and acyclic quiver Q were first introduced by Nakajima in [40]. Since then they have been of great importance in Nakajima's geometric study of Kac Moody algebras and their representations [40], [41]. Furthermore, Nakajima quiver varieties yield important examples of symplectic hyper-Kähler manifolds appearing in various fields of representation theory.

Graded quiver varieties, defined as fixed point sets of ordinary quiver varieties in [42], found an interesting application in constructing a monoidal categorification of cluster algebras (see [43], [44], [31]) in the sense of Hernandez and Leclerc, [21] and [22]. Cyclic quiver varieties have recently been used by Qin to realize a geometric construction of quantum groups [46]. In [29], motivated by the construction of monoidal categorifications of cluster algebras, we studied various properties of graded quiver varieties.

In this paper we introduce *generalized quiver varieties* and investigate their properties. Classical and cyclic quiver varieties arise as special cases of generalized quiver varieties, and our theory provides a new construction of these varieties in terms of representations of orbit categories. Many properties of classical and cyclic quiver varieties (such as smoothness) are general features of all generalized quiver varieties. This allows us to reprove some known results in a way that applies simultaneously to classical and cyclic quiver varieties, removing the need to study these two cases separately.

Most importantly our techniques enable us to prove results for generalized quiver varieties that are new even for classical and cyclic quiver varieties. Among other things, we prove that all generalized quiver varieties can be realized as moduli spaces of representations of a finite dimensional algebra. This gives an alternative, GIT-free construction of generalized quiver varieties, and in particular of the classical and cyclic ones.

One of the main motivations for this project is that the theory of generalized quiver varieties provides the tools for comparing Bridgeland's and Qin's work on quantum groups and Hall algebras [4], [46]. This is accomplished in our recent work [53] that relies in an essential way on the results of this

paper. Another source of motivations comes from the problem of desingularizing quiver Grassmannians. Starting with work of Crawley-Boevey [8] and Schofiled [54] this question has attracted a lot of interest, see also [6] and [7]. All results currently available in the literature focus on the desingularization of quiver Grassmannians of Dynkin (or tilted Dynkin, see [30]) type. We work with a class of algebras of a completely different kind, that is self-injective algebras. We show that generalized quiver varieties give the right framework to construct desingularization maps for quiver Grassmannians of self-injective algebras.

In the rest of this Introduction we give a more detailed account of our main results.

1.1. **Nakajima quiver varieties.** Recall that to the choice of dimension vectors w and v for the set of vertices of Q, Nakajima associates the smooth quiver variety $\mathcal{M}(v,w)$ and the affine quiver variety $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$. There are two main features of quiver varieties which play an important role in their application to Kac Moody algebras and cluster algebras:

(1) There is a projective map $\pi : \mathcal{M}(v,w) \to \mathcal{M}_0(w)$.
(2) If Q is of Dynkin type, i.e. a quiver whose underlying graph is an ADE Dynkin diagram, then π induces a stratification

$$\mathcal{M}_0(w) = \bigsqcup_v \mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v,w)$$

into non-empty smooth and locally closed strata.

In this paper we show that our generalized quiver varieties satisfy analogous properties. Furthermore, we show that their geometry is governed by an associated self-injective algebra. This greatly generalizes work of [29] and extends results of Savage and Tingley [52]. In the case of classical Nakajima quiver varieties, the self-injective algebra describing the geometry of quiver varieties is the preprojective algebra $\mathcal{P}_Q$, associated to the quiver Q. Preprojective algebras were introduced in [15] and play an important role in Lusztig's study of canonical bases [35], [36].

1.2. **Generalized Nakajima categories and generalized quiver varieties.** In order to define generalized quiver varieties we consider configurations of the regular (graded) Nakajima categories $\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}$, which are certain quotient categories of the Nakajima category $\mathcal{R}^{gr}$, and were introduced in [29]. Taking the orbit category with respect to an isomorphism F of $\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}$ yields the *generalized regular Nakajima category* $\mathcal{R}$. In analogy to the classical case, we can define generalized quiver varieties associated to $\mathcal{R}$ using geometric invariant theory and obtain two varieties $\mathcal{M}(v,w)$ and $\mathcal{M}_0(v,w)$ satisfying the properties (1) and (2) of Section 1.1. The cyclic and classical quiver varieties appear as special case of this construction.

Associated to the regular Nakajima category $\mathcal{R}$, we consider a certain full subcategory $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{R}$, the *singular Nakajima category*. We show that the quotient category $\mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{R}/\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ describes the geometry of these generalized quiver

varieties, that is their stratification into strata $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v,w)$, the degeneration order between the strata and the fibres of π. In fact, if we consider the special case of classical quiver varieties, $\mathcal{P}$ is isomorphic to the preprojective algebra $\mathcal{P}_Q$. We show that if Q is a Dynkin quiver the category $\mathcal{P}$ has similar properties than the preprojective algebra: it is self-injective, finite-dimensional and its category of projective modules is triangulated. The triangulated structure of projective $\mathcal{P}$–modules will be crucial to establish an equivalence between the degeneration order on strata and a degeneration order on triangulated categories defined by Jensen, Su and Zimmermann [24].

1.3. **Affine quiver varieties as moduli spaces.** It was shown in [29] and [32] that graded affine quiver varieties can be realized as spaces of representations of the (graded) singular Nakajima category $\mathcal{S}^{gr}$. As shown in Theorem 3.8, an analogous result holds for affine quiver varieties associated with quivers Q whose diagram is of Dynkin type. As an algebraic variety, the affine quiver variety $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{rep}(w,\mathcal{S})$, the space of representations with dimension vector w of the singular Nakajima category $\mathcal{S}$. If Q is not of Dynkin type, $\mathrm{rep}(w,\mathcal{S})$ is isomorphic to the union of the smooth spaces $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v,w)$.

Motivated by this result, we give a more explicit description of $\mathcal{S}$. If Q is of Dynkin type, then $\mathcal{S}$ is given as an algebra by $\mathbb{C}\,Q_{\mathcal{S}}/I$, where $Q_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a finite quiver and I is an admissible ideal of the path algebra of $Q_{\mathcal{S}}$. We determine the quiver $Q_{\mathcal{S}}$ and the number of minimal relations of paths between two vertices of $Q_{\mathcal{S}}$ in terms of dimensions of morphism spaces in $\mathrm{proj}\,\mathcal{P}$ (see Proposition 3.18). Hence the self-injective algebra $\mathcal{P}$ plays a key role in the description of the affine quiver variety.

1.4. **Stratification of affine quiver varieties.** One of our main results consists in the construction of a so-called *stratification functor* Ψ from the category of finite-dimensional $\mathcal{S}$–modules to the category $\mathrm{inj}^{nil}\,\mathcal{P}$ of finitely-cogenerated injective $\mathcal{P}$–modules that have nilpotent socle. The functor Ψ is called a stratification functor as it satisfies the following properties:

- two points M and M' belonging to the regular part $\bigsqcup_v \mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v,w) \cong \mathrm{rep}(w,\mathcal{S})$ of $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ map into the same stratum if and only if their images under Ψ are isomorphic (Theorem 4.8 and 4.9).
- If Q is of Dynkin type, $\mathrm{inj}^{nil}\,\mathcal{P}$ equals $\mathrm{proj}\,\mathcal{P}$, the category of finitely-generated projective $\mathcal{P}$–modules which has a triangulated structure. In this case, we show that Ψ is a δ–functor and behaves well with respect to the degeneration order on strata: the degeneration order in $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ corresponds under the stratification functor to the degeneration order in the sense of [24] applied to the triangulated category $\mathrm{proj}\,\mathcal{P}$.

- Finally, we use Ψ to describe the fibers of Nakajima's map π as quiver Grassmannians of modules of $\mathcal{P}$ extending thereby results of Savage–Tingley [52]. (see Theorems 4.14 and 4.17)

1.5. **Frobenius models of orbit categories of the derived category.** Let Q be of Dynkin type and let us consider a category $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ given as an orbit category of $\mathcal{D}_Q$, the bounded derived category of finite-dimensional representations of Q, by an isomorphism F satisfying the assumptions in [28]. Hence $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ is triangulated. A Frobenius model of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ is a Frobenius category $\mathcal{E}$ satisfying that the associated stable category $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$, the quotient of $\mathcal{E}$ by its category of projective-injective, is equivalent to $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ as a triangulated category. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the regular Nakajima category defined in Section 2. This category depends on the choice of an admissible configuration C, which is a subset of the vertices of the repetition quiver $\mathbb{Z}Q$ and on an isomorphism F of the mesh category $k(\mathbb{Z}Q)$, which by Happel's Theorem is equivalent to the category of indecomposable objects of $\mathcal{D}_Q$. We show that $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$, the category of finitely generated projective $\mathcal{R}$–modules, is a Frobenius model for $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$. Conversely, we show that all Frobenius models of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ which are standard are indeed equivalent to $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$. The results of this section play a major role in [53], where we establish a connection between the algebraic and geometric realization of the quantum group proposed by [4] and [46] respectively.

1.6. **Desingularization of quiver Grassmanians.** Desingularisation of quiver Grassmanians have been established recently in the case of hereditary algebras of finite representation type in [6] and tilted algebras of Dynkin type [30]. Here we achieve an analogous result for the class of self-injective algebras of finite representation type using generalized quiver varieties. We show that any self-injective algebra of finite representation type can be realized as singular Nakajima categories $\mathcal{S}$. We use techniques developed in [30] to construct desingularisation maps for quiver Grassmannians of modules of self-injective algebras of finite representation type. The domain of the desingularisation maps are smooth quiver Grassmannians of $\mathcal{R}$–modules (see Theorem 6.4).

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank Bernhard Keller for his many valuable suggestions, Nicolò Sibilla, Bernard Leclerc and Pierre-Guy Plamondon for comments on the first draft, Peter Tingley, Alastair Savage and Alfredo Nájera Chávez for useful discussions. The author is also grateful to Karin Erdmann, Andrzej Skowroński and Jan Schröer for providing references. Finally, the author is indebted to Hiraku Nakajima for inviting her to the RIMS.

2. Generalized Nakajima categories

2.1. **Notations.** For later use, we introduce the following notations. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $\operatorname{Mod} k$ be the

category of k-vector spaces. Recall that a *k-category* is a category whose morphism spaces are endowed with a k-vector space structure such that the composition is bilinear. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a k-category and let $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{C})$ be the category of *right $\mathcal{C}$–modules*, i.e. k-linear functors $\mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathrm{Mod}(k)$. For each object x of $\mathcal{C}$, we obtain a *free module*

$$x^\wedge = x^\wedge_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}(?, x) : \mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathrm{Mod}\, k$$

and a *cofree module*

$$x^\vee = x^\vee_{\mathcal{C}} = D(\mathcal{C}(x, ?)) : \mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathrm{Mod}\, k.$$

Here, we write $\mathcal{C}(u, v)$ for the space of morphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(u, v)$ and D for the duality over the ground field k. Recall that for each object x of $\mathcal{C}$ and each $\mathcal{C}$–module M, we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}(x^\wedge, M) = M(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Hom}(M, x^\vee) = D(M(x)). \tag{2.1.1}$$

In particular, the module $x^\wedge$ is projective and $x^\vee$ is injective. We will denote $\mathrm{proj}\,\mathcal{C}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$–modules with objects the finite direct sums of objects $x^\wedge$ and dually, we denote $\mathrm{inj}\,\mathcal{C}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$–modules with objects the finite direct sums of objects $x^\vee$.

Furthermore, we denote throughout the paper by $\mathcal{C}_0$ the set of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ and mean by a dimension vector of $\mathcal{C}$ a function $w : \mathcal{C}_0 \to \mathbb{N}$ with finite support. We define $\mathrm{rep}(\mathcal{C}, w)$ to be the space of $\mathcal{C}$–modules M such that $M(u) = k^{w(u)}$ for each object u in $\mathcal{C}_0$.

Finally, we call a $\mathcal{C}$–module M *pointwise finite-dimensional* if $M(x)$ is finite-dimensional for each object x of $\mathcal{C}$. For an object x, we denote by S_x the simple module given by the functor that sends the object x to k and every non-isomorphic object to zero.

For all triangulated categories $\mathcal{T}$, we shall denote by Σ the shift functor, by τ the Auslander-Reiten translation and by S the Serre functor. We will denote by $\mathcal{D}_Q$ the bounded derived category of finite-dimensional kQ–modules.

2.2. **Nakajima Categories and Happel's Theorem.** In this section we define the singular and regular Nakajima categories $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ both in the graded and ungraded setting. The regular Nakajima category is a mesh category which we can associate to any acyclic finite quiver and $\mathcal{S}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{R}$. The graded Nakajima categories have also been defined in [29], but for the convenience of the reader, we give a short definition here. In [29], we denoted the graded Nakajima categories by $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{S}$, here we will denote them by $\mathcal{R}^{gr}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{gr}$ to distinguish them from the ungraded Nakajima categories.

Let Q be a finite acyclic quiver with set of vertices Q_0 and set of arrows Q_1. We will assume throughout the article that Q is connected. This allows a simplified exposition of results as we will have to differentiate between the case when Q is of Dynkin type, that is the unoriented underlying graph of Q is a Dynkin diagram, or not.

The *framed quiver* Q^f is obtained from Q by adding, for each vertex i, a new vertex i' and a new arrow $i \to i'$. For example, if Q is the quiver $1 \to 2$, the framed quiver is



Let $\mathbb{Z}Q^f$ be the repetition quiver of Q^f (see [47]). We refer to the vertices (i', p), $i \in Q_0$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, as the *frozen vertices* of $\mathbb{Z}Q^f$. We define the automorphism τ of $\mathbb{Z}Q$ to be the shift by one unit to the left, so that we have in particular $\tau(i, p) = (i, p-1)$ for all vertices $(i, p) \in Q_0 \times \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, we define σ by $(i, p) \mapsto (i', p-1)$ and $(i', p) \mapsto (i, p)$ for all $i \in Q_0$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For example, if Q is the Dynkin diagram A_2, the repetition $\mathbb{Z}Q^f$ is the quiver



Finally we denote by $\bar{\alpha}$ the unique arrow to $\alpha : y \to x$ that runs from $\tau x \to y$. As in [12] and [47], we denote by $k(\mathbb{Z}Q)$ the *mesh category* of $\mathbb{Z}Q$, that is the objects are given by the vertices of $\mathbb{Z}Q$ and the morphisms are k-linear combinations of paths modulo the ideal spanned by the mesh relations

$$R_x : \sum_{\alpha : y \to x} \overline{\alpha}\alpha,$$

where the sum runs through all arrows of $\mathbb{Z}Q$ starting in τx.

By Happel's Proposition 4.6 of [19] and Theorem 5.6 of [20], there is a fully faithful embedding

$$H : k(\mathbb{Z}Q) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}_Q$$

where $\operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}_Q$ denotes the category of indecomposable complexes in the bounded derived category of mod kQ. The functor H is an equivalence if and only if Q is of Dynkin type.

The action of τ on $\mathbb{Z}Q$ corresponds to the action of the Auslander-Reiten translation on $\mathcal{D}_Q$, hence justifies our notation.

Definition 2.3. *The* regular graded Nakajima category $\mathcal{R}^{gr}$ *has objects the vertices of* $\mathbb{Z}Q^f$ *and the morphism space from* a *to* b *is the space of all* k*-linear combinations of paths from* a *to* b *modulo the subspace spanned by all elements* uR_xv*, where* u *and* v *are path and* x *is a non-frozen vertex. The* singular graded Nakajima category $\mathcal{S}^{gr}$ *is the full subcategory of* $\mathcal{R}^{gr}$ *whose objects are the frozen vertices.*

Note that $\mathcal{R}^{gr}$ is not equivalent to the mesh category $k(\mathbb{Z}Q^f)$, as we do not impose mesh relations in frozen objects.

2.4. **Configurations and orbit categories of Nakajima categories.** Let C be a subset of the set of vertices of the repetition quiver $\mathbb{Z}Q$. We denote $\mathcal{R}^{gr}_C$ the quotient of $\mathcal{R}^{gr}$ by the ideal generated by the identities of the frozen vertices not belonging to $\sigma(C)$ and by $\mathcal{S}^{gr}_C$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{R}^{gr}_C$ formed by the objects corresponding to the vertices in $\sigma(C)$. Furthermore, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}Q_C$ the quiver obtained from $\mathbb{Z}Q$ by adding for all $x \in C$ a vertex $\sigma(x)$ and arrows $\tau(x) \to \sigma(x)$ and $\sigma(x) \to x$.

Let F be a k-linear isomorphism on $k(\mathbb{Z}Q)$. We make the following assumption on C and F.

Assumption 2.5. *For each vertex x of $\mathbb{Z}Q$, the sequences*
(2.5.1)
$$0 \to \mathcal{R}^{gr}_C(?,x) \to \bigoplus_{x\to y} \mathcal{R}^{gr}_C(?,y) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \to \mathcal{R}^{gr}_C(x,?) \to \bigoplus_{y\to x} \mathcal{R}^{gr}_C(y,?)$$
are exact, where the sums range over all arrows of $\mathbb{Z}Q_C$ whose source (respectively, target) is x.

Furthermore, we have that $F(C) \subset C$ and $F^n \neq \mathbf{1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We call all C satisfying the above assumption *admissible configuration* and (C, F) an *admissible pair.* For example if C is the set of all vertices of $\mathbb{Z}Q$, then C is an admissible. Another class of examples is given in [32].

Note that F commutes with τ and extends uniquely to $\mathcal{R}^{gr}_C$ by setting $F\sigma(c) := \sigma(F(c))$ for all $c \in C$. We will denote by $\mathcal{R}$ the orbit category of $\mathcal{R}^{gr}_C/F$ and by $\mathcal{S}$ its full subcategory with objects $\sigma(C)$. Note that the quotient $\mathcal{R}^{gr}_C/\langle\mathcal{S}^{gr}_C\rangle \cong k(\mathbb{Z}Q)$ does not depend on C and is equivalent to the image of the Happel functor H. It is easy to see that the orbit category of $\mathcal{R}^{gr}_C/\langle\mathcal{S}^{gr}_C\rangle$ by F is naturally equivalent to the quotient $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{R}/\langle\mathcal{S}\rangle$.

Finally, we denote by $\widetilde{Q}$ the quiver $\mathbb{Z}Q_C/F$ with vertices the F–orbits on the set of vertices of $\mathbb{Z}Q_C$ and the number of arrows $x \to y$ between two fix representatives x and y of F–orbits is given by the number of arrows from $x \to F^i y$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the quiver $\mathbb{Z}Q_C$. By our condition on F, it is easy to see that $\widetilde{Q}$ is a finite quiver and the canonical map $\mathbb{Z}Q_C \to \widetilde{Q}$ is a Galois covering.

In the sequel, we will identify the orbit categories $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ with their equivalent skeleta categories, in which we identify all objects lying in the same F–orbit.

2.6. **The Dynkin case.** In this section, we assume that Q is of Dynkin type. We will see that choosing an automorphism F as above is equivalent to the choice of a triangulated automorphism of $\mathcal{D}_Q$ such that the canonical map $\mathcal{D}_Q \to \operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ is a triangulated functor.

Example 2.7. *In the case that $Q = A_2$, $F = \tau$ and that C is the set of all vertices of $\mathbb{Z}Q$, our assumptions are satisfied and $\mathcal{R}$ is equivalent to the*

path category of $\widetilde{Q}$



modulo the mesh relations, which are given by

$$\alpha\overline{\alpha} + \overline{\gamma}\gamma = 0 \text{ and } \beta\overline{\beta} + \gamma\overline{\gamma} = 0.$$

We see that $\mathcal{P}$ *is equivalent to the preprojective algebra* $\mathcal{P}_{A_2}$.

We have seen an example in which $\mathcal{P}$ is given by the preprojective algebra. Note that $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ is triangulated. This holds in greater generality as shows the next lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that* Q *is of Dynkin type. Under the assumption 2.5,* F *lifts to a triangulated functor of* $\mathcal{D}_Q$ *such that the canonical morphism* $\mathcal{D}_Q \to \operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ *is triangulated. Furthermore* $\mathcal{P}$ *is* Hom*-finite and has up to isomorphism only finitely many objects and* $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P} = \operatorname{inj}\mathcal{P}$.

Proof. By Happel's equivalence, we can lift F to a k-linear automorphism on $\mathcal{D}_Q$. By Serre duality and the fact that F commutes with τ, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{D}_Q(x, \Sigma y) \cong D\mathcal{D}_Q(\tau x, y) \cong D\mathcal{D}_Q(\tau F(x), F(y)) \cong \mathcal{D}_Q(Fx, \Sigma Fy)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{D}_Q$. Hence $F(kQ)$ is a tilting object in $\mathcal{D}_Q$ and induces an auto equivalence on $\mathcal{D}_Q$ via $\mathcal{D}_Q \to \mathcal{D}_Q, x \mapsto F(kQ) \otimes^L x$. Clearly, this functor is isomorphic to F, as both are uniquely determined by the image of the projective indecomposable kQ–modules. Furthermore, it is clearly triangulated. As F acts without torsion, we have that $F^n = \tau^k$ for some $k, n \in \mathbb{Z} - 0$ and as Q is of Dynkin type, we also know that there is an integer s with $\tau^s \cong \Sigma^2$. Hence $F^m = \Sigma^h$ for two non-zero integers m and h. From this equality, it is easy to see that all conditions of [28] are satisfied and as a consequence $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ is naturally a triangulated category. Furthermore, F has only finitely many orbits and therefore $\mathcal{P}$ has only finitely many objects up to isomorphism. As F does not have a fixed point on $\operatorname{ind}\mathcal{D}_Q$, and we know that $\mathcal{D}_Q(x, F^i(y))$ vanishes for all but finitely many $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ is Hom-finite. As F commutes with the Serre functor S, we find that for all $x \in \operatorname{ind}\mathcal{D}_Q$ the following isomorphism is given

$$\begin{aligned} x^\vee_{\mathcal{P}} &= D\mathcal{P}(?, x) \cong D\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}_Q(?, F^i x) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} D\mathcal{D}_Q(?, F^i x) \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}_Q(S^{-1}F^i x, ?) \cong \mathcal{P}(S^{-1}x, ?) = (S^{-1}x)^\wedge_{\mathcal{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

√

Note that the category $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ also admits Auslander-Reiten triangles. Its Auslander-Reiten quiver is given by $\mathbb{Z}Q/F$ and $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ is standard, that is the mesh category $k(\mathbb{Z}Q)/F \cong k(\mathbb{Z}Q/F)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{P}$.

Example 2.9. *We consider the example, where $Q = A_2$ and $C = (\mathbb{Z}Q)_0$. Let us consider the triangulated functor $F = \Sigma\tau$ acting on $\mathcal{D}_Q$ and by Happel's Theorem on the mesh category $k(\mathbb{Z}Q)$. Clearly F satisfies all conditions in 2.5 and $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}^{gr}/F$ is given by the path category to the quiver $\widetilde{Q}$ obtained by identifying the two vertices labelled by S_1 and P_2 respectively*



The relations in $\mathcal{R}$ are given by the mesh relations in the non-frozen objects corresponding to the vertices $S_1, P_2, S_2, \Sigma S_1, \Sigma P_2$. For example the mesh relation in S_1 yields

$$\bar{\alpha}\alpha + \bar{f}f = 0.$$

Furthermore $\mathcal{D}_Q/F$ is the Cluster category associated to Q introduced in [5] *which is triangulated by* [28].

2.10. **Kan extensions and Stability.** In [30], we introduced in more generality the notion of intermediate extensions and stability. We recall them here adapted to the setup of this paper. We call an $\mathcal{R}$–module M *stable* if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(S, M) = 0$ vanishes for all modules S supported only in non-frozen vertices. Equivalently, M does not contain any non zero submodule supported only on non frozen vertices. We call M *costable* if we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(M, S) = 0$ for each module S supported only in non-frozen vertices. Equivalently, M does not contain any non zero quotient supported only on non frozen vertices. A module is *bistable*, if it is both stable and costable.

As the restriction functor

$$\operatorname{res} : \operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{R} \to \operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{S}$$

is a localization functor in the sense of [11], it admits a right and a left adjoint which we denote K_R and K_L respectively: the left and right Kan extension cf. [38].

We obtain the following recollement of abelian categories:

$$\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{P} \rightleftarrows \operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{R} \overset{K_L}{\underset{K_R}{\overset{\longleftarrow}{\xrightarrow{\text{res}}}}} \operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{S}.$$

We define the intermediate extension

$$K_{LR} : \operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{S} \to \operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{R}$$

as the image of the canonical map $K_R \to K_L$ (see [30] for general properties). To distinguish the graded from the non graded case, we will denote the Kan extensions by K_R^{gr}, K_L^{gr} and K_{LR}^{gr} in the graded setting.

In the next Lemma, we summarize some basic properties of Kan extensions used in the sequel of this article. They are proven in Lemma 2.2 of [30].

Lemma 2.11. *Let M be a $\mathcal{R}$–module, then the following holds.*

(1) *The adjunction morphism $M \to K_R \operatorname{res} M$ is injective if and only if M is stable.*
(2) *Dually, M is costable if the adjunction morphism $K_L \operatorname{res} M \to M$ is surjective.*
(3) *M is bistable if and only if $K_{LR} \operatorname{res} M \cong M$.*
(4) *The adjunction morphism $M \to K_R \operatorname{res} M$ is invertible if and only if M is stable and $\operatorname{Ext}^1(N, M)$ vanishes for all N which lie in the kernel of* res.
(5) *Dually, $K_L \operatorname{res} M \to M$ is invertible if and only if M is costable and $\operatorname{Ext}^1(M, N)$ vanishes for all N which lie in the kernel of* res.

Note that, as a consequence of (3), the intermediate extension K_{LR} establishes an equivalence between $\operatorname{mod} \mathcal{S}$ and the full subcategory of $\operatorname{mod} \mathcal{R}$ with objects the bistable modules.

In this article we construct a functor from representations of the singular Nakajima category $\mathcal{S}$ to $\mathcal{P}$, establishing a bijection between the strata of the affine quiver variety and the objects of $\mathcal{P}$ (see Theorem 4.8).

To this end, we define for every $\mathcal{S}$–module M the modules $CK(M)$ and $KK(M)$ given by

$$KK(M) = \ker(K_L(M) \to K_{LR}(M)) \text{ and}$$
$$CK(M) = \operatorname{cok}(K_{LR}(M) \to K_R(M)).$$

Note that both CK and KK are supported only in $\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0$. Now we have an obvious isomorphisms $\mathcal{R}/\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}$. Therefore, we may view $CK(M)$ and $KK(M)$ as $\mathcal{P}$–modules. In the graded setting, we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{R}^{gr}/\langle \mathcal{S}^{gr} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Ind}\mathcal{D}_Q$, the category of indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{D}_Q$. The respective functors in the graded setting, which we denote here by CK^{gr} and KK^{gr}, can be seen as functors from $\operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{S}^{gr}$ to $\operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{D}_Q$.

2.12. **Preprojective algebras.** Let us denote by $\mathcal{P}_Q$ the preprojective algebra associated with Q and by $\mathcal{D}_Q$ the bounded derived category of finite-dimension kQ–modules. The *double quiver* $\overline{Q}$ is obtained from Q by adding, for each arrow α, a new arrow $\overline{\alpha}$ with inverted orientation. For example, if Q is the quiver $1 \to 2$, the doubled quiver is



The preprojective algebra is the path algebra $k\overline{Q}/I$ where I is the ideal generated by the mesh relations r_x for all $x \in Q_0$. Recall that

$$r_x = \sum_{\beta: y \to x} \overline{\beta}\beta - \sum_{\alpha: x \to y} \alpha\overline{\alpha}$$

is the *mesh relation* associated with a vertex x of Q, where the sum runs over all arrows of Q.

It will also be convenient to view $\mathcal{P}_Q$ as the mesh category associated to $\overline{Q}$, that is the objects of $\mathcal{P}_Q$ are the vertices of Q and the morphisms are the k-linear combinations of paths in $\overline{Q}$ modulo the ideal generated by the mesh relations r_x. From this point of view the category of indecomposable objects in $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}_Q$ and $\mathcal{P}_Q$ are equivalent categories by the Yoneda embedding.

If Q is of Dynkin type, the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{P}_Q$ does not depend on the orientation of Q, that is quivers with underlying graph the same Dynkin diagram give rise to isomorphic categories $\mathcal{P}_Q$. We will use the following well-known properties of preprojective algebras. The first statement can be found in [50].

Theorem 2.13. *The following holds.*

- *The algebra $\mathcal{P}_Q$ is finite-dimensional if and only if Q is of Dynkin type.*
- *If Q is of Dynkin type,* $\operatorname{mod}\mathcal{P}_Q$ *is self-injective and otherwise* $\operatorname{mod}\mathcal{P}_Q$ *is of global dimension two.*

Furthermore we know by [28, 7.3] that $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}_Q = \operatorname{inj}\mathcal{P}_Q$ is equivalent to the orbit category $\mathcal{D}_Q/\tau$ if Q is a Dynkin quiver. Hence, the shift Σ in the derived category induces an auto equivalence on $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}_Q$. As Σ^2 is isomorphic to a power of τ on $\mathcal{D}_Q$, it acts as the identity on $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}_Q$. Furthermore Σ is the Serre functor of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}_Q$ and therefore $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}_Q$ is a one Calabi-Yau triangulated category. Note that Σ seen as an automorphism of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}_Q$ is given the Nakayama automorphism $\nu := D(\mathcal{P}_Q) \otimes -$.

3. Generalized Nakajima quiver varieties

Nakajima introduces in [42] two types of quiver varieties, the affine quiver variety $\mathcal{M}_0$ and the smooth quiver variety $\mathcal{M}$. Both quiver varieties give rise to a graded version: the graded affine quiver variety and the graded quiver variety, which we denote in this paper by $\mathcal{M}_0^{gr}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{gr}$.

We define generalized quiver varieties as geometric invariant quotients of representations of the regular Nakajima category $\mathcal{R}$. To obtain the definition of the graded quiver variety it suffices to replace $\mathcal{R}$ by $\mathcal{R}^{gr}$. We refer to [29] for more details.

Remark 3.1. *For $F = \tau$ and Q acyclic, the original Nakajima varieties are obtained as $\mathcal{M}(v, w)$ and $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ and for $F = \tau^n$ the n-cyclic quiver varieties.*

We fix two dimension vector $v : \mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0 \to \mathbb{N}$ and $w : \mathcal{S}_0 \to \mathbb{N}$ and set $\mathcal{S}t(v,w)$ to be the subset of $\mathrm{rep}(v,w,\mathcal{R})$ consisting of all $\mathcal{R}$–modules with dimension vector (v,w) that are stable in the sense of 2.10. Let G_v be the product of the groups $\mathrm{GL}(k^{v(x)})$, where x runs through the non frozen vertices. By base change in the spaces $k^{v(x)}$, the group G_v acts freely on the set $\mathcal{S}t(v,w)$. The *generalized quiver variety* $\mathcal{M}(v,w)$ is the geometric quotient dimension vector $\mathcal{S}t(v,w)/G_v$.

For the next statement, we refer to Nakajima's work [42] [43] for the case where Q is Dynkin or bipartite and to Qin [44] [45] and Kimura-Qin [31] for the extension to the case of an arbitrary acyclic quiver Q.

Theorem 3.2. *The G_v–action is free on $\mathcal{S}t(v,w)$ and the quasi-projective variety $\mathcal{M}(v,w)$ is smooth.*

Proof. Suppose that $gM = M$ for some $g \in G_v$ and $M \in \mathcal{S}t(v,w)$. Then $\mathrm{im}(\mathbf{1} - g)M$ is a submodule of M which has support only in objects of $\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0$. This is a contradiction to the stability of M. As the action of G_v is free, it remains to show that $\mathcal{S}t(v,w)$ is smooth. We consider the map

$$\nu : \mathrm{rep}(v,w,\widetilde{Q}) \to \bigoplus_{x\in\mathcal{R}_0} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{v(x)}, \mathbb{C}^{v(\tau x)}), M \mapsto \bigoplus_{x\in\mathcal{R}_0-\mathcal{S}_0} \sum_{\alpha:y\to x} M(\bar{\alpha})M(\alpha)$$

where the sum runs through all arrows $\alpha : y \to x$ in $\widetilde{Q}$ and $\bar{\alpha}$ denotes the unique arrow $\tau x \to y$ in $\widetilde{Q}$ corresponding to α. The set $\nu^{-1}(0)$ yields the affine variety $\mathrm{rep}(v,w,\mathcal{R})$. Furthermore the tangent space at a point $M \in \mathrm{rep}(v,w,\mathcal{R})$ corresponds to the space of all $N \in \mathrm{rep}(v,w,\widetilde{Q})$ satisfying that

$$d\nu_M(N) = \bigoplus_{x\in\mathcal{R}_0} \sum_{\alpha:y\to x} N(\bar{\alpha})M(\alpha) + M(\bar{\alpha})N(\alpha)$$

vanishes. We show that in every mesh to $x \in \mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0$, and every $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{v(x)}, \mathbb{C}^{v(\tau x)})$ there is a representation $N \in \mathrm{rep}(v,w,\widetilde{Q})$ with image f. Clearly, if $M_x : M(x) \to M(\sigma(x))$ is injective, this is possible by choosing $N_{\sigma(x)} : M(\sigma x) \to M(\tau x)$ such that $N_{\sigma(x)}M_x = f$ and all other linear maps of N to be zero. Then $d\nu_M(N) = f$. Assume now that $\ker M_x \neq 0$ and $s \in \ker M_x$.



Then, by the stability of M, there is a vertex $z \in \mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0$ such that the image of s along a path from z to x in $\widetilde{Q}$ does not lie in the kernel of $M_z : M(z) \to M(\sigma(z))$. Let us assume that $z = x_n, \ldots, x_0 = x$ are the

vertices along a minimal length path with arrows $\alpha_i : x_{i+1} \to x_i$ and that f is a projection of s onto $s' \in M(z)$. Then, we can choose inductively along the path for every arrow of the path linear maps $N(\bar{\alpha}_i)$ such that we get components $N(\bar{\alpha}_0)M(\alpha_0) = f$ and $M(\tau\alpha_{i-1})N(\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}) + N(\bar{\alpha}_i)M(\alpha_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. In the last mesh, we choose $N_{\sigma(z)} : M(z) \to M(\sigma(z))$ such that $N_{\sigma(z)}M_z + M(\tau\alpha_{n-1})N(\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}) = 0$. All other linear maps defining N are set to zero. By the definition of N, we have $d\nu_M(N) = f$ and $d\nu_M$ is therefore surjective. Hence the tangent spaces at stable points are of the same dimension. We conclude that $\mathcal{S}t(v,w)$ and $\mathcal{M}(v,w)$ are smooth. $\surd$

Remark 3.3. *Note that generalized quiver varieties are in general not symplectic. Furthermore in general they do not satisfy the odd cohomology vanishing proved in Theorem 7.3.5 of* [42] *for classical quiver varieties.*

The *affine quiver variety* $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ is constructed as follows: we consider for a fixed w the affine varieties given by the categorical quotients

$$\mathcal{M}_0(v,w) := \mathrm{rep}(v,w,\mathcal{R})//G_v.$$

For all $v' \leq v$, where the order is component wise, we have an inclusion by extension by zero, that is by adding semi-simple nilpotent representations with dimension vector $v - v'$

$$\mathrm{rep}(v',w,\mathcal{R})//G_{v'} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{rep}(v,w,\mathcal{R})//G_v.$$

The affine quiver variety $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ is defined as the colimit of the quotients $\mathrm{rep}(v,w,\mathcal{R})//G_v$ over all v along the inclusions.

Remark 3.4. *We will show that if Q is Dynkin, the affine quiver variety is indeed an affine variety. We will still refer to $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ as the affine quiver variety though, as it is defined as colimit of affine varieties.*

Theorem 3.5. *The set $\mathcal{M}(v,w)$ canonically becomes a smooth quasi-projective variety and the projection map*

$$\pi : \sqcup_v \mathcal{M}(v,w) \to \mathcal{M}_0(w)$$

taking the G_v–orbit of a stable $\mathcal{R}$–module M to the unique closed G_v–orbit in the closure of G_vM, is proper.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}^{reg}(v,w) \subset \mathcal{M}(v,w)$ the open set consisting of the union of closed G_v–orbits of stable representations. Furthermore, we denote $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v,w) := \pi(\mathcal{M}^{reg}(v,w))$ the open possibly empty subset of $\mathcal{M}_0(v,w)$. Note that it follows from the definition that π is one to one when restricted to $\mathcal{M}^{reg}(v,w)$.

3.6. **Affine quiver varieties as $\mathcal{S}$–modules.** Based on [32], we have proven in [29] that the graded affine quiver variety $\mathcal{M}_0^{gr}(w)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{rep}(\mathcal{S}^{gr},w)$ as an algebraic variety. In this section, we show that this result remains true in this generalized setting if Q is a Dynkin quiver.

First, we proceed by describing the closed points of $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ more concretely. By abuse of language, we say that a G_v-stable subset of $\mathrm{rep}(v,w,\mathcal{R})$ *contains* a module, if it contains the orbit corresponding to the module.

Lemma 3.7.
- *The closed G_v–orbits in $\mathrm{rep}(v,w,\mathcal{R})$ are represented by $L\oplus N\in\mathrm{rep}(v,w,\mathcal{R})$, where L is a bistable module and N is a semi-simple module such that $\mathrm{res}\,N$ vanishes.*
- *A stable $\mathcal{R}$–module M belongs to $\mathcal{M}^{reg}(v,w)$ if and only if it is bistable.*

Proof. (1) Given an exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow N\longrightarrow M\longrightarrow L\longrightarrow 0$$

of finite-dimensional $\mathcal{R}$–modules with $\mathrm{res}(N)=0$ (resp. $\mathrm{res}(L)=0$), the closure of the G_v-orbit of M contains $N_{ss}\oplus L$ (resp. $L_{ss}\oplus N$) where N_{ss} is the semi-simple module with the same composition series than N.

The module $K_{LR}(\mathrm{res}\,M)$ is contained in $\mathrm{im}(\varepsilon)\subset K_R(\mathrm{res}\,M)$, where ε denotes the adjunction morphism $M\to K_R(\mathrm{res}\,M)$. Let i denote the inclusion $K_{LR}(\mathrm{res}\,M)\subset\mathrm{im}(\varepsilon)$. Now by the first step, the closure of the orbit of M contains $\mathrm{im}(\varepsilon)\oplus(\ker(\varepsilon))_{ss}$ and the closure of the orbit of $\mathrm{im}(\varepsilon)$ contains $K_{LR}(\mathrm{res}\,M)\oplus(\mathrm{cok}(i))_{ss}$. Hence if the G_v–orbit of M is closed, it contains the object

$$K_{LR}(\mathrm{res}\,M)\oplus(\mathrm{cok}(i))_{ss}\oplus(\ker(\varepsilon))_{ss}.$$

We conclude that $M\cong K_{LR}(\mathrm{res}\,M)\oplus(\mathrm{cok}(i))_{ss}\oplus(\ker(\varepsilon))_{ss}$ and as $K_{LR}\,\mathrm{res}\,M$ is bistable, this proves the first implication.

Conversely, given a point $L\oplus N$ as in the statement of the Lemma. As N is semi-simple, every element in the closure of the orbit of $L\oplus N$ contains N as direct summand. By GIT theory, we know that the closure of the orbit of $L\oplus N$ contains a closed orbit G_vX. By the first part $X\cong K_{LR}\,\mathrm{res}\,X\oplus Z\oplus N$, where $\mathrm{res}\,Z$ vanishes. As the restriction functor is G_v–invariant and algebraic, it takes constant value on the orbit closure. Therefore $\mathrm{res}\,L\cong\mathrm{res}\,X$ and as L is bistable, we have $L\cong K_{LR}(\mathrm{res}\,L)\cong K_{LR}(\mathrm{res}\,X)$. Furthermore, for dimension reasons, Z vanishes. Hence the orbit of $L\oplus N$ and the orbit of X coincide. This finishes the proof.

(2) Suppose that M is stable and belongs to $\mathcal{M}^{reg}(v,w)$, then by the first part $M\cong K_{LR}(\mathrm{res}\,M)\oplus N$, where $\mathrm{res}\,N$ vanishes. As M is stable, it forces $N=0$. Hence M is bistable by Lemma 2.11 and conversely, by the first part, all bistable modules give rise to closed G_v–orbits. $\surd$

In the proof of the previous Lemma, we have considered the algebraic map

$$\mathrm{res}:\mathcal{M}(v,w)\to\mathrm{rep}(w,\mathcal{S}),\ G_vM\mapsto\mathrm{res}\,M,$$

induced by the restriction map res. This map factors as $\pi:\mathcal{M}(v,w)\to\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ and $\mathrm{res}:\mathcal{M}_0(w)\to\mathrm{rep}(w,\mathcal{S})$, where the latter is also induced by restriction map applied to the closed G_v–orbits.

Both maps are well-defined as res is G_v–invariant. Furthermore

$$\mathrm{res} : \mathcal{M}_0(w) \to \mathrm{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$$

is a surjective map, as for every $X \in \mathrm{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$, the G_v–orbit of $K_{LR}X$ is a closed point of $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ satisfying $\mathrm{res}\, K_{LR}X = X$.

Remarkably, in the graded setting, the varieties $\mathcal{M}_0^{gr}(w)$ and $\mathrm{rep}(w, \mathcal{S}^{gr})$ are always isomorphic for any choice of Q. This is proven in [29] and [32]. It relies on the fact that all simple $\mathcal{S}^{gr}$–modules are nilpotent. This result remains only true if Q is of Dynkin type. Nevertheless, we have a nice description of $\mathrm{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$ as unions of the strata $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v, w)$.

An equivalent statement to the next Theorem can also be found in [41, 3.27].

Theorem 3.8. *The restriction*

$$\mathrm{res} : \bigsqcup_v \mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v, w) \to \mathrm{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$$

induces an isomorphism of algebraic varieties. If Q is of Dynkin type, the affine quiver variety $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$ and is stratified by the images of the non empty ones among the open subsets $\mathcal{M}^{reg}(v, w)$.

Proof. By [33] and [34], the ring of invariants is generated by coordinate maps to paths from a frozen vertex to a frozen vertex and trace maps to cyclic paths in non-frozen vertices. Hence there is a surjection of $A_1 \otimes A_2$ onto the coordinate ring of $\mathcal{M}_0(v, w)$, where A_1 is the coordinate ring of $\mathrm{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$ and A_2 the coordinate ring of $\mathrm{rep}(v, \mathcal{P}_Q)//G_v$ inducing a closed immersion $\mathcal{M}_0(v, w) \to \mathrm{rep}(w, \mathcal{S}) \times \mathrm{rep}(v, \mathcal{P}_Q)//G_v$.

Applying the colimit over v yields an embedding of $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ into $\mathrm{rep}(w, \mathcal{S}) \times \mathrm{colim}_v \mathrm{rep}(v, \mathcal{P}_Q)//G_v$. Clearly this embedding is a surjection by the previous Lemma.

If Q is a Dynkin quiver, all simple $\mathcal{P}$–modules are nilpotent and therefore $\mathrm{rep}(v, \mathcal{P})//G_v$ is just a point. Furthermore, by the Lemma 3.7, the image of $\bigsqcup_v \mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v, w)$ under res is $\mathrm{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$. √

Let us consider the classical quiver varieties. Then $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_Q$, the preprojective algebra and if Q is not of Dynkin type there are indeed finite-dimensional simple non-nilpotent $\mathcal{P}_Q$–modules by Theorem 1.2 of [8]. Hence the restriction functor res has non-trivial fibres and therefore the affine variety $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ is never isomorphic to $\mathrm{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$ for any choice of dimension vector w.

For later use we record the next corollary which is an easy consequence of the previous results in this section.

Corollary 3.9. *Every point $L \in \mathcal{M}_0(v, w)$ corresponds uniquely to a pair (L_1, L_2) where $L_1 = \mathrm{res}\, L \in \mathrm{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$ and L_2 a representative of the isomorphism class of a semi-simple $\mathcal{P}$–module. With this identification the map*

$\pi : \mathcal{M}(v,w) \to \mathcal{M}_0(w)$ *is given by* $G_v N \mapsto (\operatorname{res} N, N')$ *where* N' *is the semi-simple module with the same composition series than* $\operatorname{Coker}(K_{LR}(\operatorname{res} N) \to N)$.

3.10. **From graded to ungraded quiver varieties.** In Nakajima's original definition, the graded and cyclic quiver varieties are defined as a fixed point set of the quiver varieties with respect to a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action (see [42]). Hence they are naturally closed subvarieties of the original quiver varieties. We used a different approach in this paper: we started by introducing the graded quiver varieties as moduli spaces of graded Nakajima categories and introduced quiver varieties as moduli spaces of orbit categories of the graded Nakajima categories. In this section, we will relate the two different approaches.

We denote $p : \mathcal{R}_C^{gr} \to \mathcal{R}$ the induced functor,

$$p_* : \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{R}_C^{gr} \to \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{R}$$

the associated pushforward functor and

$$p^* : \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{R} \to \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{R}_C^{gr}$$

the pullback functor. More concretely, they are given by

$$p_* M(x) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M(F^n x) \text{ for all } M \in \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{R}_C^{gr}$$

and

$$p^*(N)(x) = N(p(x)) \text{ for all } N \in \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{R}.$$

The same functors exists at the level of $\mathcal{S}_C^{gr}$ and $\mathcal{S}$–modules. As p commutes with the restriction functor, we will also denote the pushforward and pullback functors by $p_* : \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{S}^{gr} \to \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{S}$ and $p^* : \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{S} \to \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{S}^{gr}$ respectively. It is easy to see that the pushforward functor induces an embedding of graded quiver varieties into classical quiver varieties. To obtain the embedding of cyclic quiver varieties into classical quiver varieties, one considers the pushforward functor to the canonical functor $\mathcal{R}^{gr}/\tau^n \to \mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{R}^{gr}/\tau$.

We call an $\mathcal{R}^{gr}$–module respectively an $\mathcal{S}^{gr}$–module M *left bounded* if there is an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $M(m,i) = 0$ for all $m \leq n$ and all vertices i of Q_0^f. Right bounded modules are defined analogously and a bounded module is left and right bounded.

Lemma 3.11. *The functors* (p_*, p^*) *are adjoint functors. If* A *is a bounded* $\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}$*–module respectively* $\mathcal{S}_C^{gr}$*–module and* B *a* $\mathcal{R}$*–module respectively* $\mathcal{S}$*–module, we also have a natural isomorphism*

$$\operatorname{Hom}(B, p_* A) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(p^* B, A).$$

Recall from [29] all indecomposable projective respective injective modules of $\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}$ respectively $\mathcal{S}_C^{gr}$ are given by $x^\wedge$ respectively $x^\vee$ for all objects x of $\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}$ respectively $\mathcal{S}_C^{gr}$. Furthermore, they are point wise finite dimensional and right bounded respectively left bounded.

Both the pullback and pushforward functors are exact. Hence p_* maps finitely generated projective modules of the graded Nakajima categories to finitely generated projective modules of the generalized Nakajima categories.

In the next Lemma, we investigate the relationship between the Kan extensions in the graded and non-graded case.

Lemma 3.12. *Let M be an $\mathcal{S}_C^{gr}$–module, then $p_*K_L^{gr}M \cong K_Lp_*M$ and there is a canonical inclusion*

$$i : p_*K_R^{gr}M \hookrightarrow K_Rp_*M$$

whose restriction to $\mathcal{S}$ is the identity. If Q is of Dynkin type and M finite-dimensional, then i is an isomorphism.

Proof. To prove the first isomorphism, we use the adjointness relations

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Hom}(p_*K_L^{gr}M, L) &\cong \operatorname{Hom}(K_L^{gr}M, p^*L) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(M, \operatorname{res} p^*L)\\ &= \operatorname{Hom}(p_*M, \operatorname{res} L) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(K_Lp_*M, L)\end{aligned}$$

for any $L \in \operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{R}$. Hence by the uniqueness of the left adjoint we find $p_*K_L^{gr}M \cong K_Lp_*M$. Finally, we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}(S, p_*K_R^{gr}M) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(p^*S, K_R^{gr}M) = 0,$$

and hence we have that $p_*K_R^{gr}M$ is stable and as $\operatorname{res} p_*K_R^{gr}M \cong M$ there is a canonical injection $p_*K_R^{gr}M \to K_Rp_*M$ which restricts to the identity on $\mathcal{S}$. If Q is of Dynkin type and M is finite-dimensional, then $K_R^{gr}M$ is bounded and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Hom}(L, p_*K_R^{gr}M) &\cong \operatorname{Hom}(p^*L, K_R^{gr}M) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(p^* \operatorname{res} L, M)\\ &= \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{res} L, p_*M) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(L, K_Rp_*M)\end{aligned}$$

for any $L \in \operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{R}$. Hence by the uniqueness of the right adjoint we find $p_*K_R^{gr}M \cong K_Rp_*M$. √

We obtain the following easy consequence.

Lemma 3.13. *For $M \in \operatorname{mod}\mathcal{S}$, we have*

$$K_{LR}p_*M \cong p_*K_{LR}^{gr}M \text{ and } p_*KK^{gr}(M) \cong KK(p_*M).$$

Proof. It follows now from the previous proof that $K_{LR}p_*M$ is the image of the canonical map $p_*K_L^{gr}M \to p_*K_R^{gr}M$ and by the exactness of p_*, the image is $p_*K_{LR}^{gr}M$. By Lemma 3.12, the functor p_* commutes with the left Kan extension. Hence the second statement follows from the exactness of p_*. √

3.14. **Homological properties of Nakajima categories.** First we determine the projective resolutions of nilpotent simple $\mathcal{R}$–modules.

Lemma 3.15. *Let x be a non-frozen vertex.*

a) *The nilpotent simple $\mathcal{R}$–modules have projective resolutions given by*

$$0 \to \tau(x)^\wedge \to \bigoplus_{y \to x} y^\wedge \to x^\wedge \to S_x \to 0$$

where the sum runs over all arrows $y \to x$ in $\widetilde{Q}$. If $x \in C$, then the projective resolution of $S_{\sigma x}$ is given by

$$0 \to \tau(x)^\wedge \to \sigma(x)^\wedge \to S_{\sigma(x)} \to 0.$$

b) *The nilpotent simple $\mathcal{R}$–modules have injective resolutions given by*

$$0 \to S_x \to x^\vee \to \bigoplus_{x \to y} y^\vee \to \tau^{-1}(x)^\vee \to 0$$

where the sum runs over all arrows $x \to y$ in $\widetilde{Q}$. If $x \in C$, then the injective resolution of $S_{\sigma x}$ is given by

$$0 \to S_{\sigma(x)} \to \sigma(x)^\vee \to x^\vee \to 0.$$

Proof. We apply p_* to the projective resolution of simple $\mathcal{R}^{gr}$–modules given in [29]. As p_* is an exact functor, we obtain the above exact sequence. Now applying a dual argument to $\mathcal{R}^{op}$ yields the injective resolutions. $\surd$

Next, we determine projective resolutions of simple nilpotent $\mathcal{S}$–modules. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be either $\mathcal{S}$ or $\mathcal{R}$. Let us denote by

$$P(x) := \bigoplus_{y \in C/F} \mathcal{P}(y,x) \otimes \sigma(y)^\wedge$$

the projective $\mathcal{C}$–module and by

$$I(x) := \bigoplus_{y \in C/F} D\mathcal{P}(x,y) \otimes \sigma(y)^\vee$$

the injective $\mathcal{C}$–module which we associate with an object $x \in C$. The graded analogues, where we replace $\mathcal{P}$ with $\mathcal{D}_Q$ shall be denoted $P^{gr}(x)$ and $I^{gr}(x)$.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $x \in C$.*

a) *Let Q be of Dynkin type, then the nilpotent simple $\mathcal{S}$–modules have infinite projective resolutions*

$$\cdots \to P(\Sigma^2\tau x) \to P(\Sigma\tau x) \to P(\tau x) \to \sigma(x)^\wedge \to S_{\sigma(x)} \to 0.$$

b) *If Q is not of Dynkin type, we obtain a projective resolution for the simple nilpotent $\mathcal{S}$–modules*

$$0 \to P(\tau x) \to \sigma(x)^\wedge \to S_{\sigma(x)} \to 0.$$

Dualizing these sequence yields injective resolutions.

Proof. We apply p_* to the projective resolution of the simple $\mathcal{S}^{gr}$–module $S_{\sigma(x)}$ given in [29] and we use that

$$p_*(P^{gr}(x)) = \bigoplus_{y \in \mathcal{D}_Q} \mathcal{D}_Q(y,x) \otimes p_*\sigma(y)^\wedge$$

and

$$\bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}_Q(F^l(y), x) \cong \mathcal{P}(y, x).$$

Dually, we obtain the injective resolution. √

We summarize some consequences of the previous Lemmata.

Corollary 3.17.
- *The category of finite-dimensional nilpotent $\mathcal{R}$–modules has global dimension 2.*
- *If Q is of Dynkin type, the category of finite-dimensional nilpotent $\mathcal{S}$–modules has infinite global dimension and it is hereditary if Q is not of Dynkin type.*

Note also that as an algebra, $\mathcal{S}$ is finitely generated if Q is of Dynkin type. The results on the homological properties of $\mathcal{S}$ allow us to describe $\mathcal{S}$ as a quotient $kQ_{\mathcal{S}}/I$, where $Q_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a finite quiver and I an admissible ideal of the path algebra $kQ_{\mathcal{S}}$ cf. [14, Ch. 8] [1, II.3]. We determine the quiver $Q_{\mathcal{S}}$ and the number of minimal relations between two vertices.

Proposition 3.18. *Let Q be of Dynkin type. Then the quiver $Q_{\mathcal{S}}$ has vertices $x \in C$ and the number of arrows $x \to y$ is* $\dim \mathcal{P}(y, \Sigma x)$. *The number of minimal relations of paths from x to y is given by the dimension of $\mathcal{P}(y, \Sigma^2 x)$.*

Proof. As all objects in $\mathcal{S}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic, the vertices of $Q_{\mathcal{S}}$ are in bijection with the objects of $\mathcal{S}$, which are via σ in bijection with the objects in C/F. Let us hence denote the vertices of $Q_{\mathcal{S}}$ by the object in C. The number of arrows from x to y is the dimension of $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{S}}(S_{\sigma(x)}, S_{\sigma(y)})$ and the number of minimal relations between paths from x to y is the dimensions of $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{S}}(S_{\sigma(x)}, S_{\sigma(y)})$ which by Lemma 3.16 is given by the dimensions of $\mathcal{P}(y, \Sigma x)$ and $\mathcal{P}(y, \Sigma^2 x)$ respectively. √

We determine $\mathcal{S}$ in terms of a quiver with relations for two different choices of functors F.

Example 3.19. *Let Q be the A_2 quiver $1 \to 2$. We choose $F = \tau$ and in this case $Q_{\mathcal{S}}$ is given by*

The minimal relations defining $\mathcal{S}$ are $d^3 - fg = 0$, $e^3 - gf = 0$, $df - fe = 0$ and $eg - gd = 0$. To obtain the minimal relations one computes that $\mathcal{P}_Q(x, y)$ is one dimensional for any choice of vertices x and y. It follows that there is exactly one minimal relation between paths joining any two vertices x and y. As $\mathcal{S}$ is an orbit category of $\mathcal{S}^{gr}$, the exact minimal relations can also be obtained using the Example of [29] *after Lemma 2.7.*

Example 3.20. *Let $F = \Sigma\tau^{-1}$, then $\mathcal{P}$ is the cluster category and $\mathcal{S}$ is given as path algebra of the quiver*



subject to the relations $ab = ba$, $a^3 = b^2$ and $b^3 = a^2$.

We can classify simple $\mathcal{R}$–modules in terms of simple $\mathcal{P}$ and simple $\mathcal{S}$–modules.

Lemma 3.21. *The intermediate extension K_{LR} establishes a bijection between simple $\mathcal{S}$–modules and all simple $\mathcal{R}$–modules which do not vanish under restriction to $\mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. Let S be a simple $\mathcal{S}$–module. Let S' be a non-trivial simple submodule $S' \leq K_{LR}S$. As $K_{LR}S$ is stable, so is S' and we find that $0 \neq \operatorname{res} S' \leq \operatorname{res} K_{LR}S \cong S$ and hence $\operatorname{res} S' \cong S$. Furthermore S' is costable as it is simple and has non-zero support in frozen vertices. As $K_{LR}S$ is the unique bistable module up to isomorphism whose restriction is isomorphic to S, we have that $S' \cong K_{LR}S$. As every simple $\mathcal{R}$–module whose restriction to $\mathcal{S}$ is non-zero is bistable, we have that $K_{LR}S$ is the unique simple lift of S. Now let L be a simple $\mathcal{R}$–module. Clearly $\operatorname{res} L$ vanishes if and only if L is supported only in non-frozen vertices. Suppose that $\operatorname{res} L$ does not vanish. Then L is stable and $K_{LR} \operatorname{res} L$ is a submodule of L. Hence $K_{LR} \operatorname{res} L \cong L$ is simple. Suppose that L' is a simple submodule of $\operatorname{res} L$. Then $K_{LR} \operatorname{res} L'$ is also a simple submodule of L and by the identity

$$L' \cong \operatorname{res} K_{LR} \operatorname{res} L' \cong \operatorname{res} L$$

we have that $L' \cong \operatorname{res} L$. √

4. The Stratification functor of affine quiver varieties

We recall that the moduli space $\operatorname{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$ is stratified into finitely many smooth strata $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v, w)$. If Q is of Dynkin type, the affine quiver variety $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ and $\operatorname{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$ coincide, while in the non-Dynkin case, $\operatorname{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$ is a closed subvariety of the affine quiver variety. In this section, we will show that there is a functor $\psi : \operatorname{mod} \mathcal{S} \to \operatorname{inj}^{nil} \mathcal{P}_Q$ which parametrizes the strata, describes the degeneration order between strata and provides a description of the fibres of the proper map $\pi : \mathcal{M}(v, w) \to \mathcal{M}_0(w)$.

4.1. **The Stratification functor.** We show that the stratification of the affine quiver variety is parametrized by $\operatorname{inj}^{nil}\mathcal{P}_Q$, the category of finitely cogenerated injective $\mathcal{P}_Q$–modules with nilpotent socle. If Q is a Dynkin quiver this category is equivalent to $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}_Q$ by Lemma 2.8. Note that in the graded setting, the role of $\operatorname{inj}^{nil}\mathcal{P}_Q$ is taken by $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{D}_Q$ independently of the representation type of Q.

Lemma 4.2. *The functor KK and CK satisfy*

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1(K_{LR}M,S)=\operatorname{Hom}(KK(M),S) \text{ and } \operatorname{Ext}^1(S,K_{LR}M)=\operatorname{Hom}(S,CK(M))$$

for all $\mathcal{R}$–modules S which are supported in $\mathcal{R}_0-\mathcal{S}_0$. Furthermore $\operatorname{Ext}^1(KK(M),S)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1(S,CK(M))$ vanish for all finite-dimensional nilpotent modules S which are supported in $\mathcal{R}_0-\mathcal{S}_0$.

Proof. We give the proof for the functor KK, the proof for CK being dual. By applying $\operatorname{Hom}(-,S)$ to the short exact sequence

$$0\to KK(M)\to K_LM\to K_{LR}(M)\to 0$$

we obtain the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Hom}(K_LM,S) &\to \operatorname{Hom}(KK(M),S)\to\operatorname{Ext}^1(K_{LR}M,S)\\ &\to \operatorname{Ext}^1(K_LM,S)\to\operatorname{Ext}^1(KK(M),S)\to\operatorname{Ext}^2(K_{LR}M,S).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.11 we have that $\operatorname{Hom}(K_LM,S)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1(K_LM,S)$ vanish, proving the first identity.

We deduce from Lemma 3.15 that

$$\operatorname{Ext}^2(K_{LR}M,S)\cong\operatorname{Hom}(S,K_{LR}M).$$

The second term vanishes as $K_{LR}M$ is stable, which proves the second identity. √

Lemma 4.3. *Let Q be of Dynkin type. For any $M\in\operatorname{mod}\mathcal{S}$, we have that $KK(M)$ is a finitely generated projective $\mathcal{P}$–module and $CK(M)$ is a finitely cogenerated injective $\mathcal{P}$–module.*

Proof. As M is finitely-generated the same is true for $K_L(M)$ and its submodule $KK(M)$. As Q is of Dynkin type, we have that the algebra $\mathcal{P}$ is finite-dimensional. Hence $KK(M)$ is finite-dimensional and finitely presented as $\mathcal{P}$–module. Furthermore all simple $\mathcal{P}$–modules are nilpotent and hence isomorphic to S_x for some $x\in\mathcal{R}_0-\mathcal{S}_0$. As $\operatorname{Ext}^1(KK(M),S_x)$ vanishes by the previous Lemma for all simple $\mathcal{P}$–modules S_x, the module $KK(M)$ is a finitely generated projective $\mathcal{P}$–module. The proof that $CK(M)$ is finitely cogenerated injective is dual. √

If Q is of Dynkin type, then $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ carries a triangulated structure, which lifts from the triangulated structure of $\mathcal{D}_Q$. Hence the shift functor Σ seen as an automorphism of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ makes $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ a triangulated category (see also section 3).

Proposition 4.4. *Let Q be of Dynkin type. Then we have $CK=\Sigma KK$.*

Proof. Note that the Serre functor on $\mathcal{D}_Q$ is given by $\tau\Sigma$ and yields the Serre functor of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ and an automorphism on $\mathcal{P}$. The multiplicity of $x^\wedge$ appearing as a direct summand of $KK(M)$ is given by the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}(KK(M), S_x) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1(K_{LR}M, S_x)$. Dually the multiplicity of $x^\vee = (\tau\Sigma x)^\wedge$ as a direct summand of $CK(M)$ is given by the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}(S_x, CK(M)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1(S_x, K_{LR}M)$. Now as the dimensions of $\operatorname{Ext}^1(S_x, K_{LR}M)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1(K_{LR}M, S_{\tau x})$ are equal, both numbers coincide, which finishes the proof. √

The next Lemma shows that the functors KK and CK are essentially surjective onto $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ if Q is of Dynkin type.

Lemma 4.5. *We have $KK(S_{\sigma(x)}) \cong x^\wedge_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $CK(S_{\sigma(x)}) \cong x^\vee_{\mathcal{P}}$ for all $x \in C$.*

Proof. To prove the first identity we use the result of Lemma 3.13. We have shown in [29], that $KK^{gr}(S_{\sigma(x)})$ is a projective module of $\mathcal{P}$ represented by the image of the Happel functor $H(x)$. Hence we have that $KK(S_{\sigma(x)}) = p_*(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(-, H(x))) = x^\wedge_{\mathcal{P}}$.

To prove the second identity, we observe that by Lemma 2.11 the module $K_R(\sigma(x)^\vee)$ is isomorphic to $\sigma(x)^\vee$ seen as $\mathcal{R}$–module. This follows as $\sigma(x)^\vee$ is stable and $\operatorname{Ext}^1(-, \sigma(x)^\vee)$ vanishes. Hence $K_R I(x)$ is isomorphic to $I(x)$ seen as $\mathcal{R}$–module. We consider now the commutative diagram with exact rows and exact columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\ker g & \rightarrowtail & S_{\sigma(x)} & \twoheadrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K_R S_{\sigma(x)} & \rightarrowtail & \sigma(x)^\vee & \twoheadrightarrow & I(x) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow & & \| \\
x^\vee_{\mathcal{P}} & \rightarrowtail & x^\vee & \twoheadrightarrow & I(x)
\end{array}$$

The exactness of the middle row follows from applying K_R to the start of the injective resolution of $S_{\sigma(x)}$ in Lemma 3.15. To obtain the exactness of the last row, we use Theorem 3.7 of [29]. Hence it follows that $\ker g$ equals $S_{\sigma(x)} \cong K_{LR}(S_{\sigma(x)})$ and therefore $CK(S_{\sigma(x)}) \cong x^\vee_{\mathcal{P}}$. √

Recall that a δ–functor is a functor from an exact category to a triangulated category, which sends short exact sequences to distinguished triangles up to equivalence, cf. e. g. [27].

Proposition 4.6. *Let Q be of Dynkin type. The functors KK and CK are δ-functors*

$$\operatorname{mod}\mathcal{S} \to \operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}.$$

Proof. Let us now show that CK is a δ–functor. Let $0 \to M \to N \to L \to 0$ be an exact sequence in $\operatorname{mod}\mathcal{S}$. Then we obtain the following commutative

diagram



As $K_R(N)/K_R(M)$ is stable, it embeds into $K_R(L)$.

Furthermore, as $K_{LR}N/K_{LR}M$ is costable, the image of f in $K_R(L)$ is given by $K_{LR}L$. Hence, we have that $\ker(f) \cong \ker(K_{LR}N/K_{LR}M \to K_{LR}L)$ and as $K_{LR}N/K_{LR}M$ is costable and restricts under res to L, the canonical map $K_L(L) \to K_{LR}L$ factors through $K_{LR}N/K_{LR}M \to K_{LR}L$. Hence, we obtain the following commutative diagram



Applying the snake Lemma, we conclude that $KK(L) \cong \Sigma^{-1}CK(L)$ maps onto $\ker(f) \cong KK(L)/S$. We consider next the diagram



As $K_{LR}M$ is costable, there is no map from $\ker(K_{LR}N \to K_{LR}N/K_{LR}M)$ to S. So by the snake Lemma the map $KK(N) \cong \Sigma^{-1}CK(L)$ maps surjectively onto S.

We conclude that

$$\Sigma^{-1}CK(N) \to \Sigma^{-1}CK(L) \to CK(M) \to CK(N) \to CK(L)$$

is an exact sequence in $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ and as an exact sequence,

$$\Sigma^{-1}CK(L) \to CK(M) \to CK(N) \to CK(L)$$

is therefore isomorphic to a distinguished triangle in $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$. Hence CK and KK give rise to a δ-functor. $\surd$

Note that, as CK is a δ-functor, we are able to recover the image of CK on $\mathcal{S}$–modules from the image CK takes on simple $\mathcal{S}$–modules.

For a vector $v : \mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0 \to \mathbb{Z}$, we define $C_q v : \mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0 \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$(C_q v)(x) = v(x) - \big(\sum_{y \to x} v(y)\big) + v(\tau(x)),\ x \in \mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0,$$

where the sum ranges over all arrows $y \to x$ of $\widetilde{Q}$ and $y \in \mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0$.

We will also denote by $w\sigma : \mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0 \to \mathbb{N}$ the dimension vector which sends x to $w(\sigma(x))$ if $x \in C$ and vanishes otherwise. Using C_q, we can compute explicitly the functors KK and CK.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $K_{LR}M$ have dimension vector (v, w), then the multiplicity of $z_{\mathcal{P}}^{\wedge}$ as direct summand of $KK(M)$ is given by $(w\sigma - C_q v)(z)$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \operatorname{mod}\mathcal{S}$. We have already shown in Lemma 4.3 that $KK(M)$ is a finitely generated projective $\mathcal{P}$–module and that $KK(S_{\sigma(x)}) \cong x_{\mathcal{P}}^{\wedge}$ in Lemma 4.5. Hence it remains to show that the isomorphism class of $KK(M)$ depends only on $\underline{\dim} K_{LR}M$. The multiplicity of $x_{\mathcal{P}}^{\wedge}$ as a direct summand of $KK(M)$ is given by $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(KK(M), S_x) = \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(K_{LR}M, S_x)$. Now $\operatorname{Ext}^1(K_{LR}M, S_x)$ is given by the cohomology of the right and left exact complex

$$0 \to K_{LR}M(\tau x) \to K_{LR}M(y) \to K_{LR}M(x) \to 0$$

which equals $w\sigma - C_q v$ and hence depends uniquely on the dimension vector of $K_{LR}M$.

√

If Q is of Dynkin type and $\mathcal{P}$ isomorphic to the preprojective algebra $\mathcal{P}_Q$, the map C_q is injective. Indeed in this case, the map C_q corresponds to the Cartan matrix associated with the diagram of Q. We obtain a stronger statement.

Theorem 4.8. *Let Q be of Dynkin type and C_q be injective. The functors KK and CK are δ–functors*

$$\operatorname{mod}\mathcal{S} \to \operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$$

satisfying that any two modules M_1 and M_2 belonging to $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ lie in the same stratum if and only if their image under CK respectively KK are isomorphic.

Proof. Let $M \in \operatorname{mod}\mathcal{S}$. We recall that the dimension vector of $K_{LR}M$ determines the stratum of M:

We have that $M \in \mathcal{M}_0(v, w)$ if and only if $\underline{\dim} K_{LR}M = (v, w)$ by Lemma 2.11. We have shown in the previous proposition that the dimension vector of $K_{LR}M$ determines the image of $KK(M)$ and $CK(M)$ up to isomorphism.

So suppose that $M_1,\ M_2 \in \mathcal{M}_0(w)$ and lie in the strata $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v_1, w)$ and $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v_2, w)$ respectively. If $KK(M_1) \cong KK(M_2)$ then $w\sigma - C_q v_1 = w\sigma - C_q v_2$, and as C_q is injective, we find $v_1 = v_2$. As by Proposition 4.4, we have $CK = \Sigma KK$, the analogous statement also holds for CK. √

In the non-Dynkin case, we have a similar statement, relating the strata to the isomorphism classes of the objects in $\operatorname{inj}^{nil}(\mathcal{P})$, which denotes the category of finitely cogenerated injective modules with nilpotent socle. Let us denote by I_x the injective hull of S_x seen as a $\mathcal{P}$–module.

Theorem 4.9. *There is a functor*

$$\Psi : \operatorname{mod} \mathcal{S} \to \operatorname{inj}^{nil}(\mathcal{P}),\ S_{\sigma(x)} \mapsto I_x,$$

such that two objects appearing in the same stratum are isomorphic under Ψ*.*

Proof. Let I_M be the injective hull of $CK(M)$. As

$$\operatorname{Hom}(S_x, I_M) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(S_x, CK(M)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1(S_x, K_{LR}M)$$

is finite dimensional, we know that I_x appears only finitely many times as a factor of I_M. We define $\psi(M)$ to be the maximal direct factor of I_M with nilpotent socle. As $K_{LR}S_{\sigma(x)} = S_{\sigma(x)}$, we have that $\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(S_z, K_{LR}S_{\sigma(x)}) = \delta_{z,x}$ and hence $\psi(S_{\sigma(x)}) = I_x$. Proving that this defines a functor parametrizing the strata of $\operatorname{mod} \mathcal{S}$ is analogous to the Dynkin case. $\surd$

Note that if Q is not a Dynkin quiver, the functors CK and ψ do not coincide: we have that $CK(S_{\sigma(x)}) = x^\vee_{\mathcal{P}}$ which is not isomorphic to $\Psi(S_{\sigma(x)}) = I_x$. Based on the previous results, we call the functors CK, KK and Ψ stratification functors, as their images parametrize strata.

4.10. **Degeneration order on Strata.** Let us assume in this section that Q is of Dynkin type and that the map C_q associated with $\mathcal{P}$ is invertible. The correspondence between the finitely generated projective $\mathcal{P}$–modules and the strata of the affine quiver variety allows us to identify the degeneration order of strata with a degeneration order on objects of the triangulated category $\operatorname{proj} \mathcal{P}$.

We recall first the degeneration order on strata of the classical quiver variety $\operatorname{rep}(w, \mathcal{S}) \cong \mathcal{M}_0(w)$: we define $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v, w) \leq \mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v', w)$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v, w) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v', w)}$. This is shown to be the case if and only if $v(x) \leq v'(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0$ by [44, 4.1.3.14].

Analogously, we define a partial order on the strata of $\operatorname{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$. For two points $M, M' \in \operatorname{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$, we set $M \leq M'$ if and only if $v(x) \leq v'(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0$, where (v, w) and (v', w) are the dimension vectors of $K_{LR}(M)$ and $K_{LR}(M')$ respectively. This clearly defines a partial order on the strata $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v, w)$ of $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$, which we also call the degeneration order.

In [24], Jensen, Su and Zimmermann define a partial order on objects of a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\mathcal{T}$ is Hom-finite and idempotent morphisms are split;
- For all $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$ there is an $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}(X, \Sigma^n Y)$ vanishes.

The first condition assures transitivity and the second condition allows to conclude that the preorder is anti-symmetric. Given two objects X and Y of $\mathcal{T}$, we set in convention with our notation, $X \leq Y$ if and only if there is an object Z in $\mathcal{T}$ and a distinguished triangle

$$X \to Y \oplus Z \to Y \to \Sigma X.$$

We show that under the stratification functor KK, the degeneration order on strata corresponds to the order on objects of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$. Note that in the case that $\mathcal{T}$ is $\mathcal{P}$ condition one is satisfied by Lemma 2.8, but the second condition is not satisfied. Hence the order of [24] is only a preorder. But it will follow from the next Theorem that the preorder defines in fact a partial order on the objects of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 4.11. *Under the stratification functor the degeneration order among the strata of $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ corresponds to the degeneration order of the triangulated category* $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Let M and M' be two elements in $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ belonging to the strata $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v,w)$ and $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v',w)$ respectively. Let us assume that $KK(M) \leq KK(M')$ in the degeneration order of [24]. We will show that $v(x) \leq v'(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0$.

We suppose there is a triangle

$$KK(M) \to KK(M') \oplus Z \to Z \to \Sigma KK(M) \tag{4.11.1}$$

for some $Z \in \operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$.

By Lemma 4.5 we can find semi-simple $\mathcal{S}$–modules Y and L such that $KK(M) \cong KK(Y)$ and $KK(L) = Z$.

Hence $\underline{\dim}\, K_{LR}Y = (0, w - C_q v\sigma^{-1})$ and $\underline{\dim}\, K_{LR}L = (0, w_L)$ for the dimension vector $w_L = \underline{\dim}\, L$ of $\mathcal{S}$. Note that KK induces an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{S}}(S_{\sigma(x)}, S_{\sigma(y)}) \cong \mathcal{P}(x, \Sigma y).$$

Hence we can lift the triangle 4.11.1 to an exact sequence

$$0 \to Y \to N \to L \to 0$$

for some $N \in \operatorname{mod}\mathcal{S}$.

Applying the functor K_{LR} to the short exact sequence and using the left and right exactness of K_{LR} yields the inequality

$$\underline{\dim}\, K_{LR}Y + \underline{\dim}\, K_{LR}L \leq \underline{\dim}\, K_{LR}N.$$

Let $\underline{\dim}\, K_{LR}N = (v_N, w_N)$, then we find $w_N = w - C_q v\sigma^{-1} + w_L$ and $v_N \geq 0$.

As $KK(N) \cong KK(L) \oplus KK(M')$, we have that

$$w_N - C_q v_N \sigma^{-1} = (w_L + w) - C_q v'\sigma^{-1}.$$

Hence it follows that $C_q(v' - v_N) = C_q v$ implying $v' - v_N = v$ by our assumption on C_q.

For the converse claim, we refer to [29, 3.18]. The proof is analogous if we replace the split Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{D}_Q$ by the split Grothendieck group of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$. √

Clearly, the degeneration order is anti-symmetric. Hence the same holds for the preorder on objects of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$.

4.12. **Fibers of π as quiver Grassmannians.** In this section, we give a description of the fibers of the map π in terms of quiver Grassmannians. This generalizes work of Savage and Tingley [52]. The methods used here are entirely different though. The result in the graded case was given in [29, 4.19].

For a dimension vector u on the objets of $\mathcal{P}$ and a module $X \in \operatorname{mod}\mathcal{P}$, we denote $\operatorname{Gr}_u(X)$ the quiver Grassmannian, that is the projective variety consisting of submodules $N \subset X$ such that $\underline{\dim}\, N = u$.

Proposition 4.13. *Let $M \in \operatorname{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$ with $\underline{\dim}\, K_{LR}(M) = (v_0, w)$. Then the fibre $\operatorname{res}^{-1}(M)$ of $\operatorname{res} : \mathcal{M}(v, w) \to \operatorname{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$ is isomorphic in the complex analytic topology to the Grassmannian*

$$\operatorname{Gr}_{v-v_0}(CK(M)).$$

Proof. An $\mathcal{R}$–module $N \in \operatorname{rep}(v, w, \mathcal{R})$ lies in the fibre of M if and only if N is stable, and $\operatorname{res} N \cong M$. Suppose N lies in $\operatorname{res}^{-1}(M)$. Then we have that $K_{LR} \operatorname{res} N \cong K_{LR}M$ and as N is stable, the adjunction morphism $K_{LR}M \to N$ is an injection whose cokernel is a submodule of $CK(\operatorname{res} N) \cong CK(M)$ with dimension vector $v - v_0$. Conversely, every submodule X of $CK(M)$ with dimension vector $v - v_0$ gives rise via pullback to an $\mathcal{R}$–module N appearing in the exact sequence

$$0 \to K_{LR}(M) \to N \to X \to 0.$$

As $\operatorname{res} X$ vanishes, we obtain $\operatorname{res} N \cong \operatorname{res} K_{LR}M \cong M$ and N is stable as it is by construction a submodule of $K_R M$. √

If Q is of Dynkin type, then the restriction induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{rep}(w, \mathcal{S}) \cong \mathcal{M}_0(w)$ of algebraic varieties. Hence Nakajima's projective map $\pi : \mathcal{M}(v, w) \to \mathcal{M}_0(w)$ corresponds to the restriction map $\mathcal{M}(v, w) \to \operatorname{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$. Furthermore every point $M \in \mathcal{M}_0(w)$ belongs to the unique stratum $\mathcal{M}_0(v_0, w)$ where (v_0, w) is the dimension vector of $K_{LR}(\operatorname{res} M)$. Therefore the next result is immediate.

Theorem 4.14. *Suppose that Q is of Dynkin type and $M \in \mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v_0, w)$, then $\pi^{-1}(M)$ is isomorphic in the complex analytic topology to the Grassmannian*

$$\operatorname{Gr}_{v-v_0}(CK(M)).$$

To treat the case that Q is not of Dynkin type, we introduce certain closed subvarieties of quiver Grassmannians.

Definition 4.15. *Let D be any locally finite quiver and $X \in \operatorname{Mod} D$. For a semi-simple D–module L of dimension vector u, we denote $\operatorname{Gr}_u^L(X)$ the subvariety of $\operatorname{Gr}_u(X)$ containing all D-submodules of X with dimension vector u, that in addition have the same composition factors than L. Finally, we denote $\operatorname{Gr}_u^{nil}(X)$ the subvariety of nilpotent submodules of X having dimension vector u.*

Lemma 4.16. *Let D be a locally finite quiver, $M \in \operatorname{Mod} D$ and e a dimension vector of D. Then $Gr_e(M)$ is the disjoint union of the closed subvarieties $Gr_e^L(M)$, where L runs through the points of* $\operatorname{rep}(e, D)//G_e$*, that is all semi-simple representations with dimension vector e.*

Proof. One can define

$$Gr_e(M) := \operatorname{Hom}^0(e, M)/G_e = \{N \in \operatorname{rep}(e, D), f : N \to M \text{ is injective } \}/G_e.$$

Hence we always have a map $Gr_e(M) \to \operatorname{rep}(e, D)//G_e$ by the universal property lifting the map

$$\{N \in \operatorname{rep}(e, D), f : N \to M \text{ is injective } \} \to \operatorname{rep}(e, D)//G_e.$$

Fixing $L \in \operatorname{rep}(e, D)//G_e$ one sees that the fibre $Gr_e^L(M)$ is a closed subvariety. Hence $Gr_e(M)$ is the disjoint union of the subvarieties $Gr_e^L(M)$, where L runs through the points of $\operatorname{rep}(e, D)//G_e$. √

Theorem 4.17. *Let L be a point of $\mathcal{M}_0(w)$ given by $L_1 \oplus L_2$, where $L_1 \in \mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v_0, w) \subset \operatorname{rep}(w, \mathcal{S})$ and L_2 is a semi-simple $\mathcal{P}$–module (see Corollary 3.9). Then $\pi^{-1}(L)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gr}_{v-v_0}^{L_2}(CK(L_1))$ in the complex-analytic topology.*

Proof. Being in the fibre of L is equivalent to the following three conditions on $N \in \operatorname{rep}(v, w, \mathcal{R})$:

- $\operatorname{res} N \cong L_1$;
- N is stable;
- $M := \operatorname{Coker}(K_{LR}(\operatorname{res} N) \to N)$ has the same composition series than L_2.

Let $N \in \pi^{-1}(L)$. Note that, as N is stable, the adjunction morphism $K_{LR}(\operatorname{res} N) \to N$ is injective. Also the first point is equivalent to the existence of isomorphisms

$$K_{LR} \operatorname{res} N \cong K_{LR} \operatorname{res} L \cong K_{LR}(L_1).$$

Hence there is a commutative diagram where the columns are injections

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_{LR}(L_1) & \rightarrowtail & N & \twoheadrightarrow & M \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{LR}(L_1) & \rightarrowtail & K_R(L_1) & \twoheadrightarrow & CK(L_1). \end{array}$$

Conversely every submodule M of $CK(L_1)$ with composition series equal to L_2 gives rise via pullback to an $\mathcal{R}$–module N appearing as the middle term of the top sequence in the commutative diagram. Clearly, N satisfies by construction the third condition and N is stable as it is a submodule of the stable module $K_R(L_1)$. Finally, N satisfies $\operatorname{res} N = \operatorname{res} L$ as the restriction functor is exact and vanishes on M. Hence every point in the fibre corresponds to a distinct submodule of $CK(L_1)$ with dimension vector $v - v_0$ that has the same composition series than L_2. √

In case L belongs to a stratum $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v_0, w)$, we have the following isomorphism.

Lemma 4.18. *Suppose $L \in \mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v_0, w)$, then $\pi^{-1}(L)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Gr}^{nil}_{v-v_0}(\psi(\mathrm{res}\, L))$ in the complex-analytic topology.*

Proof. Suppose now that $L \in \mathcal{M}_0^{reg}(v_0, w)$. This implies that L_2 is semi-simple and nilpotent. Let $Y \leq I$ be a finite-dimensional nilpotent submodule of the injective hull $i : CK(\mathrm{res}\, L) \hookrightarrow I$. We can assume without loss of generality that Y is indecomposable. Then $Y \cap CK(\mathrm{res}\, L)$ seen as a submodule of I is non-empty. Let us consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} CK(\mathrm{res}\, L) & \xrightarrow[i]{} & I & \twoheadrightarrow & I/CK(\mathrm{res}\, L) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & \swarrow & \uparrow f \\ Y \cap CK(\mathrm{res}\, L) & \hookrightarrow & Y & \twoheadrightarrow & Y/Y \cap CK(\mathrm{res}\, L) \end{array}$$

The up going arrows are all injections. We know that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(-, CK(\mathrm{res}\, L))$ vanishes on all nilpotent finite dimensional $\mathcal{P}$–modules. Therefore, applying $\mathrm{Hom}(Y/Y \cap CK(\mathrm{res}\, L), -)$ to the top sequence shows that, as Y is nilpotent, the morphism f factors through I via an injective map. But as the image of $Y/Y \cap CK(\mathrm{res}\, L)$ in I does not intersect with $CK(\mathrm{res}\, L)$, the image of $Y/Y \cap CK(\mathrm{res}\, L)$ lies in Y. As Y is indecomposable, this implies that $Y/Y \cap CK(\mathrm{res}\, L)$ vanishes. As a consequence, the image $Y \hookrightarrow I$ lies in $CK(\mathrm{res}\, L)$. Hence all nilpotent finite-dimensional submodules of the injective hull of $CK(\mathrm{res}\, L)$ are also submodules of $CK(\mathrm{res}\, L)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^{nil}_{v-v_0}(CK(\mathrm{res}\, L)) \cong \mathrm{Gr}^{nil}_{v-v_0}(I)$. Now $\mathrm{Gr}^{nil}_{v-v_0}(I) \cong \mathrm{Gr}^{nil}_{v-v_0}(\psi(\mathrm{res}\, L))$ as $\psi(\mathrm{res}\, L)$ is by definition the factor of the injective hull of $CK(\mathrm{res}\, L)$ which has nilpotent socle. √

In analogy with the classical definition of the Lagrangian Nakajima quiver variety, we define the *$\mathcal{L}$-quiver variety* $\mathcal{L}(v, w)$ to be the sub variety of $\mathcal{M}(v, w)$ given by the G_v–orbits of stable representations $X \in \mathrm{rep}(v, w, \mathcal{R})$ that satisfy:

- the module X is nilpotent;
- the linear maps $X_\alpha : k^{w(\sigma i)} \to k^{v(\tau i)}$ associated with the arrows $\alpha : \tau(i) \to \sigma(i)$ vanish for all $i \in C$.

Remark 4.19. *Note that in the case of classical quiver varieties, which are symplectic, the $\mathcal{L}$-quiver variety is indeed a Lagrangian subspace. But generalyzed quiver varieties are in general not symplectic.*

We show next that the $\mathcal{L}$-quiver variety consists of all stable and nilpotent modules whose restriction to $\mathcal{S}$ are the semi-simple nilpotent module with dimension vector w. Let us denote by s_d the semi-simple nilpotent module associated to the dimension vector d.

Lemma 4.20. *A point $X \in \mathcal{L}(v,w)$ lies in the $\mathcal{L}$-quiver variety if and only if X is nilpotent and its restriction to $\mathcal{S}$ is semi-simple. Hence $\mathcal{L}(v,w)$ is given by the fibre $\pi^{-1}(s_{(w,v)})$.*

Proof. The first implication is immediate. To obtain the converse inclusion, it remains to show that X_α vanishes. Assume there is an X_α that does not vanish. As X is nilpotent, the same holds for $\operatorname{res} X$. As $\operatorname{res} X$ is semi-simple by assumption, this forces $\operatorname{res} X$ to be isomorphic to s_w. As a consequence, the submodule generated by $\operatorname{im} X_\alpha$ is non-zero and has only support in non-frozen vertices. This is a contradiction to the stability condition, so we have proven the first part. Let $X_1 \oplus X_2$ be the image of X under π, where $X_1 = \operatorname{res} X \in \operatorname{rep}(w,\mathcal{S})$ and X_2 is the semi-simple $\mathcal{P}$–module with the composition series of the cokernel of $K_{LR}(\operatorname{res} X) \to X$. Then $X_1 \cong s_w$ and hence $K_{LR}(X_1) = K_{LR}(\operatorname{res} X) = K_{LR}(s_w) = s_w$. Now as X is nilpotent, X_2 is also nilpotent and we conclude that $X_2 \cong s_v$. √

We generalize a result of Savage and Tingley [52, 4.4]. Let us denote $I_w \in \operatorname{inj}\mathcal{P}$ the injective hull of s_w.

Corollary 4.21. *The generalized $\mathcal{L}$-quiver variety $\mathcal{L}(v,w)$ is isomorphic in the complex-analytic topology, to the quiver Grassmannian*

$$\operatorname{Gr}_v(I_w) \text{ if } Q \text{ is of Dynkin type;}$$

$$\operatorname{Gr}_v^{nil}(I_w) \text{ if } Q \text{ is not of Dynkin type.}$$

Proof. If Q is of Dynkin type, we have that $\operatorname{res} : \mathcal{M}(v,w) \to \operatorname{rep}(w,\mathcal{S})$ corresponds to the desingularisation map π. Furthermore, $\mathcal{L}(v,w)$ is the fibre over s_w of this map. We know by Lemma 4.5 that $CK(S_{\sigma(x)})$ is given by $x_{\mathcal{P}}^{\vee}$ which is the injective hull of S_x if Q is a Dynkin quiver. Hence applying Theorem 4.14 finishes the proof. If Q is not of Dynkin type, the $\mathcal{L}$-quiver variety $\mathcal{L}(v,w)$ is given by the fibre under π of the semi-simple $\mathcal{R}$–module $s_w \oplus s_v$, and hence by Lemma 4.18 we have

$$\mathcal{L}(v,w) \cong \operatorname{Gr}_v^{nil}(\psi(\operatorname{res}(s_w \oplus s_v))) \cong \operatorname{Gr}_v^{nil}(I_w)$$

using that $\psi(s_w) \cong I_w$. √

As shown in [52] the variety $\operatorname{Gr}_v^{nil}(I_w)$ is equivalent as algebraic variety to $\operatorname{Gr}_v(q_w)$, where q_w denotes the injective hull of s_w in the category of locally nilpotent $\mathcal{P}$–modules.

5. Frobenius models and Nakajima categories

We assume in this section that Q is an orientation of a Dynkin diagram. Recall that $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ is a triangulated category. In this section, by a *Frobenius category*, we mean a k-linear, Krull–Schmidt category $\mathcal{E}$ endowed with the structure of an exact category for which it is Frobenius. Then the stable category $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ obtained as a quotient of $\mathcal{E}$ by all morphisms factoring

through projective-injective objects of $\mathcal{E}$ is naturally a triangulated category. A *Frobenius model for* $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ is a Frobenius category $\mathcal{E}$ together with a triangle equivalence $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{E}}$.

Note that completed orbit categories of Frobenius categories have been studied in [39].

5.1. **Gorenstein projective modules of $\mathcal{S}$.** Recall that, for a k-category $\mathcal{C}$, a $\mathcal{C}$–module M is *Gorenstein projective* [10] if there is an acyclic complex

$$P : \ldots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to P_{-1} \to \ldots$$

of finitely generated projective modules such that M is isomorphic to the cokernel of $P_1 \to P_0$ and that the complex $\operatorname{Hom}(P, P')$ is still acyclic for each finitely generated projective $\mathcal{C}$–module P'. We denote the category of Gorenstein projective modules by $\operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{C})$. By Proposition 5.1 of [2] it follows easily that $\operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{C})$ is a Frobenius exact category and that the subcategory of projective–injective objects is the subcategory of finitely generated projective $\mathcal{C}$–modules.

We have shown in Theorem 5.18 of [29] that the stable category of the Frobenius category $\operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}^{gr})$ is triangle equivalent to the bounded derived category

$$\mathcal{D}_Q \cong \underline{\operatorname{gpr}}(\mathcal{S}_C^{gr}).$$

In this section, we denote by F_* both the exact automorphism on $\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}$ and its restriction to $\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{S}_C^{gr}$ induced by the functor F.

As the pushforward functors

$$p_* : \operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}_C^{gr}) \to \operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}) \text{ and } p_* : \operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}_C^{gr} \to \operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$$

are invariant under F_*, we obtain functors

$$\operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}_C^{gr})/F_* \to \operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S})$$

and

$$\operatorname{proj}(\mathcal{R}_C^{gr})/F_* \to \operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}.$$

sending the finitely generated projective $\mathcal{S}_C^{gr}$–modules to finitely generated projective $\mathcal{S}$–modules. These functors satisfy the following properties.

Lemma 5.2. *The functor* $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}/F_* \to \operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$ *is an equivalence and* $\operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}_C^{gr})/F_* \hookrightarrow \operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S})$ *is fully faithful and exact.*

Proof. Let now $\mathcal{C}^{gr}$ be either $\mathcal{S}_C^{gr}$ or $\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}$ and respectively $\mathcal{C}$ be either $\mathcal{S}$ or $\mathcal{R}$. Let $x^\wedge$ and $y^\wedge$ be two projective $\mathcal{C}^{gr}$–modules associated with $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_0^{gr}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{C}^{gr}/F_*(x^\wedge, y^\wedge) &= \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{C}^{gr}(x^\wedge, F^i(y)^\wedge) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C}^{gr}(x, F^i(y)) \cong \mathcal{C}(x,y) \cong \operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{C}(x^\wedge, y^\wedge),\end{aligned}$$

where we identify the vertices x and y with their images under p. Hence the first functor is fully faithful. It is an equivalence as every indecomposable

projective $\mathcal{R}$–module lifts to a projective indecomposable $\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}$–module. This proves the first part.

To prove the second part, we use that all Gorenstein projective module are finitely presented. Hence the claim follows from the fully faithfulness on the finitely generated projective modules, which has been shown above. $\surd$

We show next that $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$ is a Frobenius model for $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 5.3. *The category* $\operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}_C^{gr})/F_*$ *is equivalent to* $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$ *and the stable category of* $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$ *is equivalent to* $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$.

Proof. In Theorem 5.23 of [29] we have shown that the map $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}_C^{gr} \to \operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}_C^{gr}), x^\wedge \mapsto \operatorname{res} x^\wedge$ induces an isomorphism of exact categories. This implies that $\operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}_C^{gr})/F_* \cong \operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}/F_*$. Furthermore, we have shown in the Lemma 5.2 that $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}/F_*$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$. Hence the category $\operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}_C^{gr})/F_*$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0$, then

$$\underline{\operatorname{proj}}\ \mathcal{R}(x^\wedge, y^\wedge) \cong \mathcal{R}/\langle\mathcal{S}\rangle(x,y) \cong \operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}(x^\wedge, y^\wedge).$$

Hence the stable category $\underline{\operatorname{proj}}\ \mathcal{R}$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$. This finishes the proof. $\surd$

Combining the results of this section, yields the following.

Corollary 5.4. *There is a fully faithful embedding*

$$\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P} \to \underline{\operatorname{gpr}}(\mathcal{S}), x^\wedge \mapsto \operatorname{res} x^\wedge$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{S}_0$.

Proof. By the previous results, we have that $\operatorname{res} : \operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R} \to \operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}), x^\wedge \mapsto \operatorname{res} x^\wedge$ yields a fully faithful functor between two Frobenius categories, such that the indecomposable projective-injective objects in $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$ are mapped to the projective-injective objects in $\operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S})$ given by $x^\wedge$ for all $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$. Hence this functor lifts to a functor on the stable categories. As $\underline{\operatorname{proj}}(\mathcal{R}) \cong \operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$, we obtain the above statement. $\surd$

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose that* $\mathcal{S}$ *is* Hom*-finite. Then* $\operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}_C^{gr})/F_* \to \operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S})$ *is an equivalence.*

Proof. Using the same argument as in Theorem 5.18 of [29], we can show that $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P} \to \underline{\operatorname{gpr}}(\mathcal{S})$ is essentially surjective, hence an equivalence by corollary 5.4. Note also that by Theorem 5.3 the stable category of $\operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}_C^{gr})/F_*$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$. As the embedding $\operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}_C^{gr})/F_* \to \operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S})$ is one to one on the projective-injective objects, we obtain that it essentially surjective. $\surd$

5.6. **Frobenius models of self-injective algebras.** In this section we classify all Frobenius models of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ that are standard (cf. section 2.3, page 63 of [51]) in the following sense:

(P1) For each indecomposable non projective object X of $\mathcal{E}$, there is an almost split sequence starting and an almost split sequence ending at X.
(P2) The category of indecomposables is equivalent to the mesh category of its Auslander–Reiten quiver.
(P3) Every projective-injective indecomposable object appears in exactly one mesh of the Auslander-Reiten quiver.

Recall from 2.4 that $\mathcal{P}$ is equivalent to the orbit category $k(\mathbb{Z}Q)/F$ where we view F as an automorphism on the mesh category $k(\mathbb{Z}Q)$. Similarly, $\mathcal{R}$ is defined by the choice of an automorphism F of $k(\mathbb{Z}Q)$ and an admissible configuration $C \subset (\mathbb{Z}Q)_0$.

Theorem 5.7. *There is a bijection between the admissible F-stable configurations $C \subset \mathbb{Z}Q_0$ such that $\mathcal{R}$ is Krull-Schmidt and the equivalence classes of Frobenius models $\mathcal{E}$ of* $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ *which are standard in the above sense by mapping C to the Nakajima category* $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$ *where $\mathcal{R}$ is defined by the datum (C, F).*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be defined by the admissible pair (C, F). Then $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$ is a Krull-Schmidt category whose Auslander-Reiten quiver is $\widetilde{Q} \cong \mathbb{Z}Q_C/F$. Now, by Theorem 5.3, the category $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$ is exact with projective-injective objects $\sigma(x)^\wedge$ for all $x \in C$ and we have a natural equivalence of triangulated categories between the stable category of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$ and $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$. Hence $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}$ is a Frobenius model of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$.

Conversely, suppose $\mathcal{E}$ is a Frobenius model of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ satisfying (P1)–(P3). Then the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{E}$ is $\mathbb{Z}Q/F$. Let $C_\mathcal{E} \subset (\mathbb{Z}Q/F)_0$ be the vertices which correspond to objects $c \in \mathcal{E}$, such that a projective-injective object appears as middle term of the Auslander-Reiten sequence starting in c. Then $C_\mathcal{E}$ lifts to an F-invariant subset $C \subset \mathbb{Z}Q_0$. Clearly, this configuration gives rise to a category $\mathcal{R}$ which is equivalent to $\mathcal{E}$ by (P1)–(P3). It remains to show that C is admissible. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}(-,-)$ to the almost split sequences of $\mathcal{E}$ starting in x yields the exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathcal{R}(?, x) \to \bigoplus_{x \to y} \mathcal{R}(?, y)$$

and

$$0 \to \mathcal{R}(x, ?) \to \bigoplus_{y \to x} \mathcal{R}(y, ?)$$

where the arrows run between representatives of F–orbits in $\widetilde{Q}$. By the definition of $\mathcal{R}$ as an orbit category, this yields exact sequences in $\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}$ as in 2.5. Hence C is an admissible configuration. $\surd$

Remark 5.8. *If $\mathcal{R}$ is not Krull-Schmidt for an admissible pair (C, F), then one can consider its orbifold completion $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ as defined in* [39]*, which is Krull-Schmidt as the endomorhism ring of indecomposable objects are local. Then* $\operatorname{proj}\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ *is a Frobenius model of $\widehat{\mathcal{D}_Q/F}$ which is equivalent to* $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$*, as $\mathcal{P}$ is*

Hom-*finite. Furthermore, the mesh category of its Auslander-Reiten quiver is equivalent to* $\mathcal{R}$*: the irreducible maps are induced by the arrows in the quiver of* $\mathcal{R}$ *and the indecomposable objects are in bijection with the vertices.*

It follows by [29] that $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{R}_C^{gr} \cong \operatorname{gpr}(\mathcal{S}_C^{gr})$ is a Frobenius model of $\mathcal{D}_Q$ and every standard Hom-finite Frobenius model arrises in this way. Hence we know that all standard Hom-finite Frobenius models of $\operatorname{proj}\mathcal{P}$ are orbit categories of standard Hom-finite Frobenius models of $\mathcal{D}_Q$.

6. Desingularization of quiver Grassmannians

We can apply our results to desingularize quiver Grassmanianns of modules of self-injective algebras of finite representation type. We refer to the survey [56] for an overview on self-injective algebras. We denote by $\widehat{B}$ the repetitive algebra associated with a finite-dimensional algebra B, see [23] and [19]. Furthermore, we denote by $\Gamma(A)$ the mesh category associated to the Auslander-Reiten quiver of an algebra A.

Proposition 6.1. *Let* A *be a finite-dimensional self-injective algebra of finite representation type. Then there is a Nakajima category* $\mathcal{R}$ *such that* $\Gamma(A)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathcal{R}$ *and* A *is Morita equivalent to* $\mathcal{S}$*.*

Proof. By [49], every self-injective algebra of finite representation type over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ is necessarily standard. Furthermore, if A is self-injective, standard and of finite representation type, then there is by [48], [57] an algebra B which is tilted of Dynkin type Q such that $A \cong \widehat{B}/F$, where F is an autoequivalence on $\widehat{B}$. Also $\widehat{B}$ is standard and its stable Auslander-Reiten quiver is $\mathbb{Z}Q$. By Proposition 4.3 of [30] the mesh category $\Gamma(\widehat{B})$ is equivalent to the Nakajima category $\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}$ associated with the quiver Q and C choosen such that the vertices $\sigma(x)$ with $x \in C$ correspond to the position of the projective-injective $\widehat{B}$–modules in the Auslander-Reiten quiver.

Hence F acts on $k(\mathbb{Z}Q)$ and satisfies the assumptions in 2.5 by [48], [57]. By [13] (see also [56] Section 3.2) the mesh category $\Gamma(A)$ is given by the quotient $\Gamma(\widehat{B})/F$ and the canonical pushforward functor induces a Galois cover $\Gamma(\widehat{B}) \to \Gamma(A)$. Hence $\Gamma(A) \cong \mathcal{R}$ where $\mathcal{R}$ is determined by Q, F and C as above. As $\widehat{B}$ is standard, it is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{S}_C^{gr}$, the subcategory of $\mathcal{R}_C^{gr}$ generated by the projective-injective objects $\sigma(x)$ with $x \in C$. Hence A is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{S}$. $\surd$

Let A, $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ be as in Proposition 6.1. As shown in Proposition 6.1, the algebra A is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{S}$ which is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{R}$. Furthermore, as A is standard, we have the equivalences $\operatorname{mod} A \cong \Gamma(A) \cong \mathcal{R}$. Hence the intermediate extension $K_{LR} : \operatorname{mod}\mathcal{S} \to \operatorname{mod}\mathcal{R}$ can be seen as a functor

$$K_{LR} : \operatorname{mod} A \to \operatorname{mod}\operatorname{mod} A.$$

Theorem 6.2. *Let A be a self-injective algebra of finite representation type and $M \in \operatorname{mod} A$. Then the projective variety $\operatorname{Gr}_d(K_{LR}M)$ is smooth. Furthermore there are finitely many dimension vectors $d_1, \dots, d_n$ such that the restriction induces a map*

$$\bigsqcup \operatorname{Gr}_{d_i}(K_{LR}M) \to \operatorname{Gr}_e(M),\ L \mapsto \operatorname{res} L$$

which is proper and surjective.

Proof. By Theorem 2.7 of [30], the image under the intermediate extension K_{LR} of every $M \in \operatorname{mod} A$ is rigid and has projective dimension one. As $\Gamma(A)$ is an Auslander Algebra, it has global dimension at most two and using Proposition 7.1 of [6] we have that $\operatorname{Gr}_d(K_{LR}M)$ is smooth and equidimensional. Now the restriction induces a map $\operatorname{Gr}_d(K_{LR}M) \to \operatorname{Gr}_e(M)$ for any dimension vector d of $\Gamma(A)$ and e the restriction of d to objects $\sigma(x)$ for $x \in C$. This map is proper as its domain is projective. Furthermore as K_{LR} is left exact, every submodule $N \subset M$ gives rise to a submodule $K_{LR}N \subset K_{LR}M$. Hence there are finitely many dimension vectors $d_1 \dots d_r$ such that

$$\bigsqcup_i \operatorname{Gr}_{d_i}(K_{LR}M) \to \operatorname{Gr}_e(M)$$

is surjective. √

We define $\operatorname{Gr}_d^{bs}(K_{LR}M)$ to be the closure of the open subset

$$\{L \in \operatorname{Gr}_d(K_{LR}M) | L \text{ is bistable}\}.$$

It follows that $\operatorname{Gr}_d^{bs}(K_{LR}M)$ is smooth. Following [6], let us denote by $C(N)$ the irreducible subvariety of $\operatorname{Gr}_e(M)$ containing all submodules isomorphic to an A–module N. As A is of finite representation type, all irreducible components of $\operatorname{Gr}_e(M)$ are of the form $C(N)$. Let $C(N_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ denote the irreducible components of $\operatorname{Gr}_e(M)$ for some representatives $N_i \in \operatorname{Gr}_e(M)$ and let d_i be the dimension vector of $K_{LR}(N_i)$. We denote $\mathcal{V}(M) := \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$ the set of dimension vectors.

Lemma 6.3. *The restriction $\pi^{gr} : \operatorname{Gr}_{d_i}^{bs}(K_{LR}M) \to \operatorname{Gr}_e(M)$ maps birationally onto all components $C(N_i)$ with $\underline{\dim} K_{LR}(N_i) = d_i$.*

Proof. Clearly, to every $N \in \operatorname{Gr}_e(M)$ which is isomorphic to some N_i as above, we obtain an element $K_{LR}N \in \operatorname{Gr}_{d_i}^{bs}(K_{LR}M)$ such that $\pi^{Gr}(K_{LR}N)$ maps to N. Furthermore all bistable modules form an open subset of $\operatorname{Gr}_{d_i}^{bs}(K_{LR}M)$ and are of the form $K_{LR}L$ for some $L \in \operatorname{mod} A$. Hence the open subset of bistable modules in $\operatorname{Gr}_{d_i}^{bs}(K_{LR}M)$ is mapped bijectively to the open subsets of modules isomorphic to some N_i as in the Lemma. Therefore π^{gr} is surjective and birational. √

Recall that a *desingularisation map* between algebraic varieties is a proper, surjective and birational map with smooth domain. We conclude with the following result.

Theorem 6.4. *The map*

$$\pi^{gr} : \sqcup_{d\in\mathcal{V}} \mathrm{Gr}_d^{bs}(K_{LR}M) \to \mathrm{Gr}_e(M)$$

is a desingularisation map.

References

[1] Ibrahim Assem, Daniel Simson, and Andrzej Skowroński, *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 65, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006, Techniques of representation theory.

[2] Maurice Auslander and Idun Reiten, *Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories*, Adv. Math. **86** (1991), no. 1, 111–152.

[3] Otto Bretscher, Christian Läser, and Christine Riedtmann, *Self-injective and simply connected algebras*, Manuscripta Math. **36** (1981/82), no. 3, 253–307.

[4] Tom Bridgeland, *Quantum groups via Hall algebras of complexes* Annals of Maths. **177** (2013), 739–759.

[5] , Aslak Buan, Robert Marsh, Idun Reiten and Todorov, Gordana, *Clusters and seeds in acyclic cluster algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007) 3049–3060.

[6] Giovanni Cerulli Irelli, Evgeny Feigin, and Markus Reineke, *Desingularization of quiver Grassmannians for Dynkin quivers*, Adv. Math. 245 (2013) 182–207.

[7] ———, *Homological approach to the Hernandez–Leclerc construction and quiver varieties*, Representation Theory 18, 1–14 (2014).

[8] William Crawley-Boevey, *Geometry of the moment map for representations of quivers*, Compositio Math. **126** (2001), no. 3, 257–293.

[9] Vlastimil Dlab and Claus Michael Ringel, *The preprojective algebra of a modulated graph* Representation Theory II: Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Representations of Algebras, Ottawa, Carleton University, August 13-25, 1979: No. 2. Lecture Notes in Mathematics ; 832, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer: 216231.

[10] Edgar E. Enochs and Overtoun M. G. Jenda, *Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Math. Z. **220** (1995), no. 4, 611–633. MR 1363858 (97c:16011)

[11] Peter Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 323–448.

[12] ———, *Auslander-Reiten sequences and representation-finite algebras*, Representation theory, I (Proc. Workshop, Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1979), Springer, Berlin, 1980, pp. 1–71.

[13] ———, *The universal cover of a representation-finite algebra*, Representations of Algebras, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 903, Springer Verlag, 1981, pp. 68–105.

[14] Peter Gabriel and A. Roiter, *Representations of finite-dimensional algebras*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 73, Springer–Verlag, 1992.

[15] I.M. Gelfand and V.A. Ponomarev, *Model algebras and representations of graphs*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 13 (1979), 112.

[16] Karin Erdmann and Andrzej Skowronski, *The stable Calabi-Yau dimension of tame symmetric algebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan Volume 58, Number 1 (2006), 1-297.

[17] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. I. Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 2, 497–529 (electronic).

[18] Victor Ginzburg and Éric Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type A_n*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1993), no. 3, 67–85. MR 1208827 (94j:17011)

[19] Dieter Happel, *On the derived category of a finite-dimensional algebra*, Comment. Math. Helv. **62** (1987), no. 3, 339–389.

[20] ———, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.

[21] David Hernandez and Bernard Leclerc, *Cluster algebras and quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **154** (2010), no. 2, 265–341.

[22] ———, *Quantum Grothendieck rings and derived Hall algebras*, arXiv:1109.0862 [math.QA], to appear in Crelle's Journal.
[23] David Hughes and Josef Waschbüsch, *Trivial extensions of tilted algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **46** (1983), no. 2, 347–364. MR 693045 (84m:16023)
[24] Bernt Tore Jensen, Xiuping Su, and Alexander Zimmermann, *Degeneration-like orders in triangulated categories*, J. Algebra Appl. **4** (2005), no. 5, 587–597.
[25] Irvin Kaplansky, *Projective modules*, Annals of Math. **68** (1958), 372–377.
[26] Bernhard Keller, *Chain complexes and stable categories*, Manuscripta Math. **67** (1990), no. 4, 379–417.
[27] Bernhard Keller, *Derived categories and universal problems*, Comm. in Algebra **19** (1991), 699–747.
[28] ———, *On triangulated orbit categories*, Documenta Math. 10 (2005), 551-581.
[29] Bernhard Keller and Sarah Scherotzke, *Graded quiver varieties and derived categories*, J. reine angew. Math.,DOI 10.1515 / crelle-2013-0124.
[30] ———, *Desingularizations of quiver Grassmannians via graded quiver varieties*, Advances in Mathematics 256 (2014) 318-347.
[31] Yoshiyuki Kimura and Fan Qin, *Quiver varieties and quantum cluster algebras*, arXiv:1205.2066 [math.RT].
[32] Bernard Leclerc and Pierre-Guy Plamondon, *Nakajima varieties and repetitive algebras*, Publications of RIMS, Volume 49, Issue 3, 2013, pp. 531–561.
[33] Lieven Le Bruyn and Claudio Procesi, *Semisimple representations of quivers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 317 (1990), 585–598.
[34] George Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990), no. 2, 447498.
[35] Georg Lusztig, *Quivers, perverse sheaves and enveloping algebras*, J.Amer.Math.Soc.4(1991), 365-421.
[36] ———, *Affine quivers and canonical bases*, Publ.Math.I.H.E.S.76(1992), 111-163.
[37] ———, *On quiver varieties*, Adv. Math. **136** (1998), no. 1, 141–182.
[38] Saunders Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
[39] Alfredo Nájera Chávez, *A 2-Calabi-Yau realization of universal cluster algebras of finite type*, in preparation.
[40] Hiraku Nakajima, *Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. 76 (1994) 365–416.
[41] ———, *Quiver Varieties and Kac-Moody Algebras*, Duke Math., 91, (1998), 515–560.
[42] ———, *Quiver varieties and finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 1, 145–238 (electronic).
[43] ———, *Quiver varieties and cluster algebras*, Kyoto Journal of Mathematics **51** (2011), no. 1, 71–126.
[44] Fan Qin, *Algèbres amassées quantiques acycliques*, Ph. D. Thesis, Université Paris Diderot – Paris 7, May 2012.
[45] ———, *t-analogue of q-characters, bases of quantum cluster algebras, and a correction technique*, Int Math Res Notices (2013).
[46] ———, *Quantum groups via cyclic quiver varieties I*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.1101.
[47] Christine Riedtmann, *Algebren, Darstellungsköcher, Überlagerungen und zurück*, Comment. Math. Helv. **55** (1980), no. 2, 199–224.
[48] ———, *Representation-finite self-injective algebras of class A_n*, Representation theory, II (Proc. Second Internat. Conf., Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1979), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 832, Springer, Berlin, 1980, pp. 449–520.
[49] ———, *Representation-finite self-injective algebras of class D_n*, Compositio Math. 49 (1983), 231–282.

[50] Idun Reiten, *Dynkin diagrams and the representation theory of algebras*, Notices of the AMS 44 (1997), 546556.
[51] Claus Michael Ringel, *Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1099, Springer Verlag, 1984.
[52] Alistair Savage and Peter Tingley, *Quiver Grassmannians, quiver varieties and the preprojective algebra*, Pacific J. Math. **251** (2011), no. 2, 393–429.
[53] Sarah Scherotzke and Nicolo Sibilla, *Quiver varieties and Hall algebras*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.03609.
[54] Aidan Schofield, *General representations of quivers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 65 (1992), no. 1, 46–64.
[55] Ian Shipman, *On representation schemes and Grassmanians of finite dimensional algebras and a construction of Lusztig*, Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010), no. 5, 969–976.
[56] Andrzej Skowronski, *Selfinjective algebras; finite and tame type*, Contemporary Mathematics vol 406(2006).
[57] J. Waschbusch, *On selfinjective algebras of finite representation type*, Monographs of Institute of Mathematics, vol. 14, UNAM Mexico, 1983.

S. S. : University of Bonn, Mathematisches Institut, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: sarah@math.uni-bonn.de